

Narratives as macroeconomic signals: Shaping expectations, confidence, and collective action

 Christos Christodoulou-Volos^{1*}

¹Department of Economics and Business Neapolis University, Pafos, Cyprus; c.volos@nup.ac.cy (C.C.V.).

Abstract: This paper reviews the emerging literature on how macroeconomic narratives—systematized, socially agreed-upon stories of the economy—function as signals that shape expectations, impact confidence, and drive collective economic behavior. Based on rational expectations, behavioral economics, signaling theory, narrative economics, and sociological methods, we examine how stories arise, disseminate through multiple channels, and gain strength through contagion and feedback loops. Empirical evidence demonstrates that policy communication stories, media framing, market commentaries, and public discourse can influence consumption, investment, asset prices, and political opinions individually. The literature's primary shortcomings include vagueness of definitions, measurement problems, causality issues, and a lack of cross-cultural and non-crisis research. Future research directions involve conceptual standardization, richer narrative measurement, improved causal inference, channel attribution, and integration into macroeconomic models. The paper concludes with insights into the strategic potential of narrative management for policymakers, market participants, and media outlets, as well as associated risks in policy and practice.

Keywords: *Behavioral economics, Economic signals, Macroeconomic narratives, Narrative contagion, Policy communication, Sentiment analysis.*

1. Introduction

Macroeconomic narratives—stories constructed and disseminated by policymakers, media outlets, firms, and publics—are increasingly recognized as powerful drivers of collective economic action. Shiller [1] mainstreamed the term “Narrative Economics” to highlight that emotional, influential stories have the capability to be viral and influence decisions in important economic events such as the Great Depression, the 2007–09 Financial Crisis, and global recessions (American Economic Association). Such narratives habitually shape not only perceptions but also expectations, consumption, and investment decisions, as well as market behavior.

Offering conceptual and methodological rigor, recent work by Flynn and Sastry [2] operationalizes narrative dynamics in business-cycle models. They estimate that narratives were responsible for around 32% of the output decrease in the early 2000s recession and 18% of the Great Recession, based on natural language analysis of corporate reports (CEPR). This corroborates the evidence that narratives are not passive descriptions but active macroeconomic drivers.

Nonetheless, despite growing interest, macroeconomic narratives’ integration remains patchwork. Roos and Reccius [3] acknowledge that the literature has no agreement on definitions, noting that the majority of empirical studies equate “narratives” with themes or subjects (arXiv). Genuine efforts to define conceptual underpinnings are present, definitions emphasizing that narratives are sense-making stories presented in social contexts that provoke actions (Wiley Online Library).

Traditional macroeconomic models place objective variables—GDP, inflation, interest rates—center stage at the cost of how stories mediate behavior. Recent advances suggest that narrative contagion,

sense-making, and virality need to be explicitly modeled. However, methodology continues to struggle with strictly defining narratives, measuring them as they diffuse, and measuring their quantification of behavioral impact are nascent.

This review closes these necessary gaps through integrating theory, empirical findings, and methodological improvements, specifically focusing on narrative contagion, nonlinearity feedback, and transmission channels. It ultimately describes how and why narratives matter in macroeconomic cycles, linking narrative theory and quantitative analysis.

This literature review is targeted at three interrelated goals:

- 1) **Conceptual Clarification**—Clarify what macroeconomic narratives are grounded on, both narrative economics (e.g., Shiller [1]) and more technical definitions (e.g., Roos and Reccius [3]).
- 2) **Transmission Framework**—Identify and categorize significant channels and mechanisms through which narrative signals influence collective economic behavior.
- 3) **Methodological Survey**—Review empirical methods—from text analysis and NLP to computational modeling—that measure narrative diffusion and causal impact.

This review also proposes a harmonized methodological framework for narrative research in macroeconomics, distilling empirical best practices.

To enable a comprehensive and open synthesis of the wide literature on macroeconomic narratives, this paper adopts a semi-systematic literature review procedure. Unlike the traditional narrative review, such a procedure borrows elements of systematic search methods combined with thematic analysis with the view to revealing the main conceptual linkages between macroeconomic signaling, narrative development, and collective behavior. Relevance to the overarching themes—narrative economics, behavioral economics, and reflexivity—determined the selection of literature, with a balance of canonical theoretical works and contemporary case studies from peer-reviewed journals, institutional reports, and policy documents. Sources were triangulated across economics, sociology, media studies, and policy analysis with a view to developing a multidisciplinary insight into the manner in which economic stories emerge, spread, and influence behavior. This is a way to think granularly about how signals are converted into narratives and how narratives become operative in macroeconomic systems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 states the conceptual frameworks for the research, where key concepts and structures are defined. Section 3 presents the theoretical frameworks on which the analysis draws, borrowing from appropriate economic, psychological, and sociological theories. Section 4 outlines the mechanisms by which narratives shape behavior, outlining the routes through which stories and shared beliefs influence decision-making at both group and individual levels. Section 5 consolidates empirical findings, cross-tabulating existing literature and data sources. Section 6 discusses narrative contagion and amplification, analyzing processes behind narratives spreading, evolving, and accelerating. Section 7 examines and discusses limitations in current literature, indicating methodological and conceptual shortcomings. Section 8 establishes gaps in research and future directions, proposing new research avenues for scholarly work. Section 9 presents policy and practice implications, noting how the results can be used to inform intervention and decision-making. Lastly, Section 10 is the conclusion, summarizing the main arguments and the contribution of the paper.

2. Conceptual Foundations

2.1. Defining Macroeconomic Signals

In economic theory, macroeconomic signals are pieces of information—quantitative or qualitative—that convey expectations about the current and future state of the economy. Traditionally, signals were associated with hard indicators such as GDP growth rates, inflation measures, interest rates, and unemployment rates. It is supposed that these indicators are dealt with by rational agents, who in turn adjust their behavior accordingly, as within the rational expectations hypothesis [4].

However, signals are more than just raw numeric data. Signals also consist of soft indicators—statements, announcements, and qualitative opinions published by policymakers, central banks, financial institutions, and media outlets. Qualitative signals are likely to be signals of another type that can qualify, contradict, or even overwhelm the content of official data [5, 6]. For example, forward guidance by central banks can influence market expectations of interest rates prior to the implementation of any change in policy [7].

2.2. Understanding Economic Narratives

Economic narratives differ from raw signals in the aspect that they are sense-making narratives—structured accounts of things, trends, or opportunities that attempt to impose order and meaning in an uncertain world [3]. Narratives can be based on facts, but the rhetorical advantage is in framing, emotionality, and repetition. As Shiller [1] suggests, narratives are able to “go viral” like cultural memes, propagating through networks and influencing a majority population’s behavior.

Narratives work particularly well in macroeconomic circumstances because they cut difficult data down to size for amateurs to comprehend economic conditions and modify their conduct. The “double-dip recession” after the 2008 financial crisis, for example, distilled a wide range of economic measures into a simple, compelling, emotionally resonant narrative that affected family and firm expectations.

Most significantly, not only do stories portray reality, but they can also produce self-fulfilling prophecies. If a sufficient number of people believe that a recession is imminent, precautionary actions (e.g., reduced spending, delayed investment) actually bring about a slowdown [8].

2.3. Collective Economic Behavior

Collective economic behavior is the aggregation of patterns of action—consumption, saving, investment, hiring, or selling—that emerge when individual economic actors are responding to shared cues or expectations. It draws on behavioral economics, sociology, and complexity theory in its recognition that the aggregation of micro-level behavior can lead to macro-level impacts that are nonlinear and sometimes unpredictable [9].

In stories, collective behavior has a tendency to exhibit traits such as

- Herding—where agents imitate the actions of others, amplifying early changes in consumption, investment, or asset prices [10].
- Confidence cycles—changes in optimism or pessimism that can coerce economic booms or slumps independent of fundamentals [11].
- Contagion effects—spreading of beliefs or fear rapidly through groups or countries by means of information channels [12].

2.4. Narratives as Signals: The Intersection

When narratives are deployed as macroeconomic metrics, they serve as both information and affect signals. Unlike purely statistical data, stories influence action by altering the interpretive context in which facts are perceived. For example:

- A 2% • 2% GDP growth is good if framed as part of a “resilient recovery” narrative, but bad if framed as “slow growth.”
- The same unemployment rates may have dissimilar behavioral responses based upon whether they are or are not accompanied by a narrative of “temporary adjustment” or “structural decline” [13].

This crossing recognizes why the study of narratives is not only a cultural or linguistic study but also a component of the study of macroeconomy. Narratives can alter the signal-to-noise ratio in information streams, adjust the speed of expectations adjustment, and determine the persistence of economic shocks Flynn and Sastry [2].

2.5. Theoretical Perspectives

2.5.1. Rational Expectations vs. Behavioral Perspectives

Traditional macroeconomic analysis typically supposes that agents have rational expectations grounded on all accessible information to form unbiased forecasts [4]. In this view, narratives are of minor significance at best since only unbiased facts can determine behavior.

On the other hand, behavioral economics and narrative economics maintain that the agents are characterized by bounded rationality and rely on heuristics, social influence, and emotional signals in perceiving economic states [1]. According to this school of thought, narratives form the core of expectation formation because they dictate what information is salient, credible, and memorable.

2.5.2. Signaling Theory

Traditional macroeconomics is likely to assume rational expectations on the part of agents, basing all they know on making unbiased predictions [4]. According to this perspective, stories are secondary at best, as behavior should only be affected by objective facts.

2.5.3. Narrative Economics

Narrative economics is concerned with the epidemiology of ideas, as stories spread virally to influence millions of individuals before perishing or mutating [1]. The approach melds psychology (emotional contagion), sociology (network diffusion), and economics (expectation formation). It also accounts for the nonlinear and path-dependent nature of narrative effects, where small framing differences in stories cause disproportionately huge economic effects [8].

2.5.4. Institutional and Sociological Perspectives

In addition to cognitive individualism, narratives are placed within institutions. Think tanks, political parties, and media channels would act as “narrative amplifiers,” framing the information according to their agendas [11]. Institutional and cultural differences may lead to different perceptions of the same narrative within different societies, and comparative studies would be especially important in this context [14].

2.6. Summary

Conceptually, macroeconomic stories are at the crossroads of information conveyance and shared meaning creation. They reinterpret abstract economic facts into palatable, emotionally resonant stories that influence expectations and actions. Narrative should thus be considered not just as a reflection of economic reality but as a dynamic macroeconomic force that can redefine it.

The next section elaborates on this theoretical foundation by way of an examination of the specific channels—policy communication, market commentary, media framing, and public discourse—through which these stories are rendered into collective economic action.

3. Theoretical Perspectives

Syntheses among different theoretical perspectives in economics, behavioral science, sociology, and communication theory are needed to analyze how macroeconomic narratives function as signals that influence collective action. The next section harmonizes these perspectives to outline a conceptual framework for which mechanisms and impacts of narratives in economic life can be analyzed. The analysis begins with the classical rational expectations model, continues to behavioral models that focus on bounded rationality and psychological factors, includes signaling theory, continues to Shiller’s narrative economics approach, and finally considers institutional and sociological perspectives on information transmission and amplification.

3.1. *Rational Expectations and Classical Macroeconomic Signaling*

The rational expectations hypothesis (REH) that dominated the majority of postwar macroeconomic theory assumes that economic agents make forecasts by optimally combining all the information set and hence making statistically efficient and unbiased predictions of variables in the future. Agents, under this theory, distinguish between systematic and unsystematic policy actions, only respond to new information, and discount recurrent or anticipated announcements [4].

Ordinary macroeconomic announcements are, in the REH framework, assumed exogenous inputs to agents' decision-making rules. Agents are presumed to be treating these inputs rationally, without reference to emotional or social concerns. Accordingly, a 25-basis-point increase in interest rates would influence investment and consumption decisions in proportion to its effect on intertemporal budget constraints and relative prices, without regard to announcement wording.

Such an assumption has been increasingly challenged. Empirical evidence suggests that the same quantitative policy action significantly differs in its effects based on how it is communicated [5, 6]. Such a difference means the REH excludes channels on which qualitative aspects of communication—tone, framing, and narrative coherence—affect expectations.

The REH also has trouble explaining the repetition of expectations-driven booms and busts that are seemingly disproportionate to fundamentals, such as during the tech bubble of the late 1990s or the housing bubble leading to the 2008 financial crisis [9]. Such flaws have led to other frameworks that are responsive to psychological, social, and communicative factors.

3.2. *Behavioral Economics and Bounded Rationality*

Behavioral economics offers a more realistic theory of decision-making by recognizing bounded rationality—the idea that cognitive limitations, emotional bias, and social pressures shape how the information of agents is processed. In this context, macroeconomic narratives are not only vehicles for information but also heuristics that simplify decision-making under uncertainty [10].

3.2.1. *Salience and Availability Bias*

Narratives then become powerful if they are accessible, memorable, and prominent. The availability heuristic states that individuals estimate probabilities based on how easily examples come to mind. A narrative of a looming recession receiving continuous media coverage makes the possibility of a downturn appear more likely than the underlying evidence warrants, leading households and companies to act more cautiously [11].

3.2.2. *Herd Behavior and Social Proof*

Behavioral economics also includes herding models, under which agents imitate others when they are uncertain. Popular stories can then trigger cascades of public action—investing in an expanding market, cutting back when the economy is down—even if the factual foundation of the story is slight [12]. This can amplify cyclical swings and contribute to constructing market bubbles or crashes.

3.2.3. *Loss Aversion and Framing Effects*

Loss aversion, a key assumption of prospect theory, is that bad tales—tales of losses, threats, and downturns—are more than normally sized behaviorally relative to good ones of equivalent size [13]. Asymmetry leads us to infer that media focus on bad macroeconomic trends can generate deeper contractions in consumption and investment than good news can generate expansions.

3.3. *Signaling Theory*

Signaling theory is applicable in asymmetric information cases, when a party (the “sender”) has insider information about economic conditions and has to credibly convey it to others (the “receivers”)

[4]. Policymakers, central banks, and international institutions are typically key senders in macroeconomic contexts, while households, firms, and financial markets are receivers.

3.3.1. Credibility and Costly Signaling

A signal's strength lies in its credibility. Central bank forward guidance, for example, is powerful when markets know the bank will act as it has promised along its announced policy path [7]. When the sender has a history of policy reversals or inaccurate projections, narrative signals are discredited and lose their ability to shape expectations.

3.3.2. Narrative Framing as a Signaling Tool

Narratives are able to render a signal more meaningful and persuasive by infusing quantitative information into a coherent narrative. For instance, a statement of monetary policy announcing that “interest rates will remain low to support recovery until inflation returns to target” embeds the policy path in a broad narrative of recovery, which people are better able to read and remember [6]. On the other hand, ill-designed stories—those that are incoherent or internally inconsistent—can create confusion and devalue the signal's power.

3.3.3. Narrative Economics

Shiller [1] “Narrative Economics” presents a synoptic account of the processes by which macroeconomic stories come to be formed, spread, and influence aggregate action. The general argument is that narratives are subject to epidemiological forces, akin to the spread of viruses. They can accrue incubation periods, rapid growth, and finally erode or evolve.

3.3.4. Contagion and Viral Spread

Within the paradigm of narrative economics, a macroeconomic narrative's spread is a matter of its infectiousness (cognitive and affective appeal) and vulnerability of the population. Social and traditional media function as amplifiers, increasing the reach and frequency of narrative exposure [12].

3.3.5. Feedback Loops

Narratives can produce feedback loops from beliefs to economic outcomes. A “housing boom” story can, for example, cause speculative buying, which raises prices and maintains the expectation of future appreciation, until the story unravels [9].

3.3.6. Mutation and Evolution

Narratives modify as they proceed, often becoming new stories for altered settings. The “Great Recession” narrative, having started as a housing and financial crisis, later turned into broader stories about inequality and the worst aspects of globalization. Through being so adaptable, stories may survive even when circumstances change [8].

3.4. Institutional and Sociological Perspectives

The form, reception, and influence of narratives are shaped by the institutional and cultural environments in which they are entrenched.

3.4.1. Media as Narrative Gatekeepers

Media organizations play an important role in selecting and framing economic news. As the agenda-setting theory puts it, the media don't tell people what to think but what to think about [11]. In selecting the economic events to cover and presenting them either positively or negatively, the media are able to tilt public expectations in directions that will affect macroeconomic dynamics.

3.4.2. Political and Institutional Agendas

Political leaders have a tendency to put frames in favor of policy objectives. For example, fiscal restraint may be framed as “necessary discipline” by one government and as “detrimental retrenchment” by another [15]. This type of framing shapes public acceptance or resistance to policy proposals.

3.4.3. Cross-National and Cultural Differences

The cultural norms influence the interpretation of narratives. One specific macroeconomic message may be to some extent received and interpreted differently in various societies depending on variation in institutional trust, economic literacy, or financial history volatility [14]. This highlights the need for cross-country comparative examination in measuring the impact of stories in various settings.

3.5. Integrating Perspectives: Toward a Multi-Layered Framework

While all of the above theory models have a unique contribution to offer, macroeconomic stories perceived as signals must be integrated. A framework might be multi-layered with:

1. Core Signal Properties—from signaling theory, with a focus on credibility, simplicity, and costliness of information transmission.
2. Cognitive Processing—from behavioral economics, mapping, framing, salience, and emotional appeals that affect individual interpretation.
3. Diffusion Dynamics—from narrative economics, simulating how stories diffuse, transform, and trigger feedback loops.
4. Institutional Context – sociological theory would have us recognize the role of media gatekeeping, political agendas, and cultural reception.

This integrated understanding allows us a more subtle appreciation of how stories move from statements of individuals to commonly held beliefs that become macroeconomic aggregates.

3.6. Summary

The theoretical context of macroeconomic narratives is inherently interdisciplinary. The rational expectations framework gives a beginning point for signaling, but does not have anything to say about the social and emotional forces captured by behavioral economics. Signaling theory is a useful contribution to credibility and transmission, and narrative economics is used to characterize epidemiological dissemination and resilience of economic narratives. Institutional and sociological frameworks then highlight context, media, and culture in narrative reception.

These frameworks all underscore that stories are not secondary products of economic activity but are indeed causal forces operating on expectations, confidence, and collective action. This is a lead-in to the next section that examines the specific channels through which stories become embedded in economic conduct and presents an empirical survey of kinds of stories, channels of transmission, and reactions.

4. Channels Through Which Narratives Shape Behavior

Macroeconomic narratives guide collective economic activity through particular but complementary mechanisms of transmission. These mechanisms control the velocity, reach, and duration of the effects of narratives on expectations, confidence, and conduct. While the origin of a narrative may be heterodox—central banks, governments, media, analysts, or public debate—its macroeconomic impact is a product of the channels it traverses and the populations it reaches. This section critiques four of the major channels: policy communication, media and information dissemination, market commentary and analyst reports, and public debate. All channels have unique amplification mechanisms, but often overlap in supporting narrative power.

4.1. Policy Communication

Policy communication is one of the most direct and influential channels for transmitting macroeconomic narratives. Governments, central banks, and international institutions use speeches, reports, press releases, and official statements to signal economic conditions, future policy intentions, and risk assessments.

4.1.1. Central Bank Forward Guidance

Central banks employ forward guidance to shape expectations of the future trajectory of monetary policy on a consistent basis. Forward guidance is more than quantitative interest rate objectives to narrative framing—describing economic circumstances in a way that reinforces credibility and shapes expectations. For example, the U.S. Federal Reserve’s commitment to keep rates “low for an extended period” in the aftermath of the 2008 crisis conveyed a narrative of patient, accommodative policy, which calmed financial markets [7].

Narrative framing of monetary policy works because financial markets continue to be extremely responsive to expectations regarding the future [6]. A consistent and clear policy narrative is able to influence bond yields, exchange rates, and stock prices even before policy action is implemented. Mixed and conflicting messages, on the other hand, tend to induce increased volatility and kill confidence [5].

4.1.2. Fiscal Policy Announcements

Fiscal policymakers also build narratives in budget speeches, tax policy releases, and investment plans. These messages shape family and company behavior by situating fiscal decisions in narratives of economic power, competitiveness, or frugality [15]. Like a government announcing expenditure on infrastructure as part of a “national modernization plan,” one can spur private sector investment in targeted sectors, before projects’ initiation.

4.2. Media and Information Diffusion

Media—both traditional and digital—play a pivotal role in amplifying and framing macroeconomic narratives. As the agenda-setting theory suggests, the media influences what economic topics people think about and how they interpret them [11].

4.2.1. Traditional Media Gatekeeping

Newspapers, television, and radio are principal gatekeepers that decide what economic occurrences are reported and how such events are framed. The framing is either positive (“steadily recovering ahead”) or negative (“dull growth raises job fears”), influencing public opinion asymmetrically due to loss aversion [13].

Media reporting can also create selection bias in reporting sensational or unusual events, and can further increase economic uncertainty and lead consumers and investors to be more risk-averse.

4.2.2. Digital Media and Virality

Internet sites have amplified narrative diffusion. Social networking sites—Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube enable macroeconomic stories to travel rapidly, at times without the filtering function of traditional media. This enables both accurate information and misinformation to reach wide audiences [12]. Viral diffusion pace can compress the period between narrative arrival and measurable behavioral adjustment, leading to more severe, sometimes destabilizing, market reactions.

4.2.3. Algorithmic Amplification

Algorithmic news feeds and suggestion algorithms prefer content that generates reactions, with a tendency to emphasize emotionally invested reports. This warps public exposure towards reports that

may not be consistent with macroeconomic conditions but continue to shape the way individuals think and behave.

4.3. Market Commentary and Analyst Reports

There is an independent narrative world for financial markets that is shaped by asset managers, investment banks, and independent analysts. They interpret macroeconomic signals and policy announcements in a way that narrates market trends, sector trends, and asset allocation strategies for clients.

4.3.1. Analyst Reports as Narrative Vehicles

Analyst reports can recreate technical economic indicators into investment stories. For example, a report describing increasing commodity prices as the “start of a multi-year bull cycle” can influence portfolio allocation across the market [16]. Even if the underlying data are publicly available, analysts’ presentations can cause herding behavior by institutional investors.

4.3.2. Market Commentary and Sentiment Formation

Daily market commentary—newspaper talk shows, finance websites, and radio podcasts—offers constant reinforcement of prevailing stories. By repetition across time, multiple framings have the ability to inscribe market mood so that it refuses change even when confronted by opposing evidence [10].

4.4. Public Discourse

The final channel is public discourse—dialogue among households, communities, and businesses. Narratives that reach public discourse have the broadest potential for shaping collective economic behavior because they influence both micro-level decisions and aggregated demand.

4.4.1. Social and Cultural Transmission

Public discourse is likely to spread in social networks, occupational groups, and community meetings. For example, if a “housing market collapse” narrative becomes common in local chatter, potential buyers may delay purchases, strengthening downward pressure on prices [9].

This is also culturally dependent: cultures of high trust will have less trouble embracing official stories, while low-trust cultures will employ more peer-to-peer stories [14].

4.4.2. Informal Networks in Business Decision-Making

Entrepreneurs tend to exchange information and insights in informal gatherings—trade shows, associations, or neighborhood meetings. Such communications can promote the diffusion of stories, particularly in times of turmoil when official signals are not clear.

4.5. Synthesis: Narrative–Mechanism–Effect Mapping

Cross-channel interaction is complex. A central bank message (policy communication) may be supplemented by media coverage (news), reinterpreted by market commentators (market commentary), and ultimately mixed with plain talk (public discourse). The total effect is usually greater than the sum of contributions because channels are open to feedback loops.

Table 1 consolidates the literature by mapping broad macroeconomic narrative types onto their most significant transmission mechanisms, empirically testable behavioral effects, and landmark studies.

Table 1.

Mapping Different Types of Macroeconomic Narrative to Transmission Mechanisms, Behavioral Impacts, and Important Research

Narrative Type	Transmission Mechanism	Measurable Effect	Behavioral	Key Studies
Central Bank Forward Guidance	Official speeches, press conferences, and policy reports	Changes in bond yields, exchange rates, and inflation expectations		Gurkaynak, et al. [7]; Ehrmann and Fratzscher [5] and Hansen and McMahon [6]
Fiscal Policy Announcements	Budget speeches, public spending announcements, tax statements	Shifts in consumer confidence, business investment intentions		Alesina, et al. [15] and Blinder and Krueger [17]
Crisis Management Narratives	Emergency press releases, televised addresses, and social media	Panic buying, flight-to-safety, deposit withdrawals		Shiller [1]; Tuckett, et al. [8] and Baldwin and Weder di Mauro [18]
Economic Outlook Reports	IMF/WB/OECD publications, analyst briefings, media coverage	Stock market volatility, portfolio reallocations		Dovern and Weisser [19] and Lamont [16]
Media Framing of Indicators	Headlines, news cycles, and economic talk shows	Changes in household spending, sentiment indices		Soroka [11] and Nadeau, et al. [13]
Social Media Economic Discourse	Twitter, blogs, YouTube	Rapid opinion shifts, herd behavior, and viral misinformation		Shapiro, et al. [20] and Bollen, et al. [12]
Political Campaign Narratives	Election debates, manifestos, stump speeches	Voter expectations on growth and inflation		Kayser and Wlezien [21] and Jacobs and Matthews [22]
Speculative Market Stories	Analyst recommendations, investment newsletters	Asset price bubbles, momentum trading		Kindleberger and Aliber [9] and Barberis, et al. [10]
Geopolitical and Trade Narratives	Trade deal announcements, sanctions news	Commodity price shifts, currency volatility		Kilian and Zhou [23] and Caldara and Iacoviello [14]
Technological Innovation Narratives	Media hype cycles, CEO launches, R&D stories	VC inflows, sector-specific booms		Perez [24] and Mazzucato [25]

Table 1 indicates that while narrative sources vary—ranging from policy institutions to popular culture—channels cross over into each other, creating reinforcing multiplicity. Fiscal and central bank narratives work directly through official channels, with media and market commentaries overlaying and framing narratives for mass public consumption. Public opinion then incorporates narratives into everyday choice-making, making them stronger and longer-lasting and influencing behavioral decisions. In addition, evidence also identifies the asymmetry between positive and negative news, with the latter more likely to be responsible for stronger and quicker reactions due to loss aversion and risk sensitivity [13].

4.6. Conclusion

Understanding the channels through which narratives impact behavior is relevant to researchers, analysts, and policymakers. Each of these media has its own audience and its own dynamics, but interactions among them produce complex patterns of amplification that can amplify or mute macroeconomic trends. The next section comes back to facts, describing how each of the channels has been studied, measured, and quantified in financial and macroeconomic frameworks.

5. Empirical Evidence

The growing popularity of macroeconomic narratives as behavioral drivers of economic activity has triggered a heterogeneous empirical literature. The existing literature examines the role of narratives in different areas—monetary policy communication, fiscal announcements, media coverage, social media conversations, and geopolitical commentary—by adopting both qualitative and quantitative methods. The scope ranges from text analysis and sentiment metrics to econometric modeling and case studies of

historical events. This section summarizes the most important empirical contributions, organized by general thematic areas.

5.1. Monetary Policy Communication and Market Reactions

One of the most robust empirical threads of narrative research is the literature on the effect of central bank forward guidance. Gurkaynak, et al. [7] pioneered in employing an event-study approach, which showed that both the policy rate action and the associated statement by the U.S. Federal Reserve significantly affect asset prices. Most importantly, they showed that markets respond to the tone and framing of policy announcements, not to the numeric target.

Similarly, Hansen and McMahon [6] employed text analysis of Bank of England minutes to infer that linguistic signals in monetary messaging—such as adjectives with connotations of caution or optimism—are accountable for fluctuations in asset prices and exchange rates when policy interest rates are left constant. Ehrmann and Fratzscher [5] provided cross-sectional evidence from central bank committee members showing that variation in communication strategy (e.g., technocratic vs. political framing) yields equivalent magnitudes of market reactions.

This literature points out that policy communication built into narrative affects macroeconomic signals, leading to expectations before policy actions. Empirically, these effects are measured based on high-frequency financial market indicators, and it is thus feasible to separate the narrative effect from contemporaneous macroeconomic events.

5.2. Fiscal Policy Announcements and Confidence Indicators

Empirical analysis of fiscal narratives has a tendency to focus on their impact on consumer and business confidence. Blinder and Krueger [17] surveyed U.S. households and found public opinion about fiscal policy largely depends on the amount of coverage in the media, and this frames fiscal narratives along lines that determine public interpretation.

Alesina, et al. [15] employed historical fiscal policy data and narrative evidence to examine austerity episodes for advanced economies. The conclusion was that the fiscal consolidations presented as necessary for long-run stability generated less short-run output loss than consolidations framed as crisis-induced interventions. This type of framing effect suggests that narrative management of expectations can modify the short-run multipliers of fiscal actions.

Confidence surveys, such as those published by the European Commission or the University of Michigan, are prone to generate abrupt shifts following headline budget speeches, even when underlying indicators are modest. Such shifts have been used in econometric models in an attempt to identify the causal influence of fiscal narrative on sentiment.

5.3. Crisis Narratives and Behavioral Shocks

Due to the strong demand for explanatory narrative during crisis and increased uncertainty, such instances are optimally appropriate for examination of narrative impact. Shiller [1] examined historical crises—the Great Depression as well as the financial crisis in 2008—and found that canonical economic narratives such as “Black Tuesday” or “subprime mortgage collapse” propagated with tremendous velocity through newspapers and radio and influenced public panic as well as policy responses.

Tuckett, et al. [8] applied computational narrative analysis to large datasets of news articles for the 2008–2010 period. They identified “phantastic objects” of affective abstractions like “toxic assets” and “too big to fail” that structured public debate and also influenced investor sentiment. These also became common usage and were highly correlated with changes in market volatility indices (VIX). Baldwin and Weder di Mauro [18] showed how the economic narratives around COVID-19 changed over time, translating from health-focused concerns to more general concerns about economic recovery. They also showed how these story shifts affected spending data. According to their cross-country examination, retail activity recovered more quickly in nations with stronger recovery narratives.

5.4. Media Framing and Sentiment Analysis

Media framing is one of the main channels of narrative power, and its effects have been quantified in terms of sentiment scores from news content. Soroka [11] used content analysis of Canadian economic news to show that negative economic news is more effective at shaping public opinion than positive news—a finding that is consistent with loss aversion in behavioral economics.

Shapiro, et al. [20] developed an advanced news sentiment index by applying natural language processing (NLP) to economic news headlines. Their macro-micro distinction-based index was used to predict short-run fluctuations in consumer confidence and business investment plans. Nadeau, et al. [13] also provided supporting evidence that elite economic forecasts, as reported in the news, shape mass economic attitudes and presidential popularity. This supports the political influence of media-framed messages.

5.5. Social Media and Real-Time Narrative Tracking

The rise of social media has transformed the study of narrative diffusion, enabling scientists to track changes in narratives as they happen. Bollen, et al. [12] all but famously demonstrated that aggregate mood states created by processing Twitter data successfully predicted daily variations in the Dow Jones Industrial Average. Their findings imply that emotion-charged narratives, even when casually voiced online, can condense into market-shifting sentiment.

Shapiro, et al. [20] extended this approach to distinguish different thematic groups of online economic narratives. They determined that Twitter “recession risk” narratives tend to surge before consumer spending declines by several weeks, suggesting a lead-lag relationship that can be exploited for forecasting. Social media also facilitates the spread of misinformation, which can propel economic activity in destabilizing channels. For instance, unverified reports of bank insolvencies via WhatsApp or Twitter have been correlated with remote bank runs in emerging markets, and tracking narrative contagion via channels that are not the conventional media becomes essential.

5.6. Geopolitical, Trade, and Technological Narratives

Geopolitical risk narratives have measurable effects on macroeconomic fundamentals. Caldara and Iacoviello [14] constructed a Geopolitical Risk Index from newspaper archives and determined that increases in geopolitical stories are associated with decreases in investment and employment, as well as increases in commodity price volatility. Kilian and Zhou [23] showed that oil prices, exchange rates, and interest rates respond in a significant manner to geopolitical news, independent of actual policy changes. Similarly, trade tales—such as tariff or trade agreement announcements—can trigger sectoral shifts in investment and jobs. These shifts usually occur even prior to policy adoption, capturing the power of the narrative in itself.

In the realm of technological change, Perez [24] documented how technology “hype cycles” create investment bubbles and speculative bubbles, while Mazzucato [25] emphasized the contribution of state-driven innovation narratives to coordinating private sector R&D investment.

5.7. Historical and Cross-Country Comparative Evidence

Historical case studies yield rich empirical data on the impact of stories. Kindleberger and Aliber [9] charted spectacular financial crises since the last three centuries, and all were preceded by persuasive narratives—the sort that made speculative mania acceptable, like “this time is different.” These were international narratives straddling nations across interconnected financial networks.

Cross-national studies also locate cultural and institutional intermediaries for narrative effects. Caldara and Iacoviello [14] found that geopolitical narratives created more economic effects in countries with less trust in institutions, which suggests that context at the societal level governs the acceptance of stories. Comparative studies of budget policy narratives have also noted heterogeneity in

their effectiveness, depending on whether they align with prevailing cultural hypotheses about government intervention [15].

5.8. Methodological Innovations in Narrative Measurement

A feature of novel empirical work is computer methods for quantifying narrative prevalence and sentiment. Some methods employed are

- Text Mining: Topic discovery of evolving macroeconomic topics from large text corpora with early warning of narrative shifts [8].
- Topic Modeling: New macroeconomic topics' detection from large corpora of text to support early discovery of narrative changes [8].
- Event Studies: Pinpointing the narrative news announcement impact with high-frequency pre- and post-event market data [7].
- Survey Experiments: Randomly assigning alternative frames of the same economic news in order to causally estimate influences on expectations and intentions [17].

These approaches together increase the potential for measuring stories and separating their impact from the economic fundamentals.

5.9. Synthesis and Key Findings

Across these empirical realms, several patterns are present:

- 1) Narrative Shapes Behavior Regardless of Basics: Across money, fiscal, or crisis scenarios, narrative framing shifts expectations and conduct irrespective of that accounted for by quantitative forces alone [1, 6].
- 2) Negative Frames Exert Asymmetric Effects: Consistent with behavioral economics, negative frames elicit stronger and more rapid reactions than positive frames [11, 13].
- 3) Multichannel Amplification: The strongest behavioral effects are obtained if narratives propagate at the same time in policy debate, media coverage, market comment, and public discussion [8].
- 4) Institutional Credibility Matters: Official statements succeed depending on perceived institutional credibility and previous track record [5].
- 5) New Data Sources Enable Real-Time Monitoring: Online news and social media databases enable scholars to track narrative dynamics with unprecedented temporality and specificity [12] [12, 19].

5.10. Transition to Critical Evaluation

While empirical research indicates enormous progress toward the identification and measurement of macroeconomic narrative influence, significant challenges remain—specifically, in the imposition of causality, rectification of selection bias, and integration of narrative measures into macroeconomic forecasting models. The next section critically examines these challenges, and scope exists for methodological progress and cross-disciplinary research.

6. Narrative Contagion and Amplification

Macroeconomic narratives are powerful not only because of their original creation but also because of their ability to propagate, become self-reinforcing, and influence popular opinion over a period of time. Narrative contagion refers to the process by which economic stories get transmitted through social and institutional networks and propagate and gain strength as they reach larger numbers. Amplification is associated with this, whereby existing narratives are built up over time through multiple exposures, cross-channel engagement, and emotional relevance to have an accentuated behavioral effect relative to their information content.

This section addresses the processes that underlie contagion, the feedback processes that amplify narrative forces, the role of nonlinear dynamics in narrative-driven economic transitions, and the empirical evidence for the processes.

6.1. *Mechanisms of Narrative Contagion*

Narrative contagion draws from the epidemiological metaphor proposed by Shiller [1] in which economic stories spread similarly to viruses—transmitted from one agent to another, evolving through interaction, and sometimes persisting long after their factual basis has eroded.

6.1.1. *Social Network Transmission*

In modern economies, narratives travel through formal channels (e.g., policy statements, media reports) and informal networks (e.g., word-of-mouth transmission, social media interaction). Empirical research by Bollen, et al. [12] shows that live social media platforms are high-frequency channels for narrative diffusion, such that posts on Twitter with economic content are broadcast to mass audiences in minutes.

Network structure determines contagion speed: highly connected hubs—e.g., financial opinion leaders, mainstream journalists, or politicians—can accelerate story transmission by orders of magnitude. As in epidemiology, a narrative’s “reproductive rate” is determined by its intrinsic appeal (infectiousness) as well as the network’s density of connections (susceptibility).

6.1.2. *Cognitive Heuristics and Emotional Hooks*

Narratives that elicit strong emotions—fear during a crisis, hope for a recovery—increase at a faster rate because they are noticed and remembered Nadeau, et al. [13]. Soroka [11] found that negative economic news, in particular, draws more notice and is transmitted more, consistent with behavioral research on negativity bias and loss aversion.

Simplicity in vocabulary also facilitates transmission. Shapiro, et al. [20] illustrate that headlines of financial news with low technical terminologies but high affective loads are more likely to be picked up by secondary sources, thus widening the audience coverage of the story.

6.1.3. *Repetition and Familiarity Effects*

Recurrent presentation creates familiarity, and thus increases perceived veracity—the illusory truth effect. Repetition of certain economic terminologies (“housing bubble,” “fiscal cliff”) by media or policy can render them memorable in the minds of individuals even before statistical trends catch up with the proposed situation [8].

6.2. *Amplification Through Multi-Channel Interaction*

Contagion becomes amplification when narratives traverse multiple channels, each reinforcing the other. In practice, macroeconomic narratives rarely remain confined to a single domain.

6.2.1. *Policy–Media Feedback Loops*

Central bank announcements (policy communication) tend to elicit instant media reporting, which reformulates the initial message for the masses. The coverage then affects public opinion polls, which the policymaker tracks when crafting follow-up communication. The cycle is capable of producing a self-reinforcing effect with each successive round of messaging, leveraging the previous one, reinforcing the initial signal [6].

6.2.2. *Market–Media Reinforcement*

Financial market volatility, in turn, can become narrative content. A rally in the stock market, for example, will trigger headlines of “revived investor optimism,” with the effect that investors are

encouraged to purchase more, reinforcing the rally [10]. This feedback loop between market performance and narrative creation creates momentum in asset prices, occasionally disproportionate to fundamentals.

6.2.3. *Social Media Acceleration*

Internet platforms are amplification engines, such that trending economic stories get algorithmic boosts, which guarantees them greater publicity [12]. Such a boost can reduce the time it takes between the introduction of a story and a behavioral response, as was the case with the quick propagation of COVID-19 recession anxiety early in 2020 [18].

6.3. *Feedback Loops and Self-Fulfilling Prophecies*

One of the critical things that narrative amplification does is establish feedback loops where the behavioral effect of a story reinforces the story itself. This can lead to self-fulfilling prophecies.

6.3.1. *Positive Feedback in Booms*

During economic upswings, there exist positive narratives such as “this sector is the future” that can trigger flows of investment, resulting in rising prices that appear to validate the narrative [21]. In technology markets, Mazzucato [22] observed that innovation stories can raise venture capital funding, which accelerates product development, putting more momentum into the belief in the promise of the industry.

6.3.2. *Negative Feedback in Crises*

In contrast, negative narratives can trigger falls. Shiller [1] demonstrates how the “Great Depression” narrative, underpinned by images of bank runs and bread lines, exacerbated the fall by discouraging consumption and investment. In more recent times, crisis narratives regarding “toxic assets” in 2008 triggered market freezes, as there was no institution that wished to be caught out having such liabilities [8].

6.3.3. *Nonlinear Thresholds*

Narrative amplification will exhibit nonlinear dynamics—effects that gain strength very rapidly after crossing certain thresholds of belief or attention. Kindleberger and Aliber [9] observed that financial crises become very rapid to build up once speculative narratives reach critical mass.

6.4. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Tipping Points*

The nonlinear nature of narrative amplification means that an economic impact from a narrative may be modest until it has passed some tipping point. When a large enough part of the population has accepted the narrative, emergent behavior can instantaneously shift.

6.4.1. *Attention Saturation and Herding*

Attention saturation means that a story captures public discussion up to a stage where other frames are no longer able to gain ground. Herding behavior is higher at this time, with economic actors coordinating their moves not just with the narrative’s predictions but also with what they expect from others’ moves [10].

6.4.2. *Policy Intervention Timing*

Policymakers must identify tipping points. Acting prematurely in a story’s lifecycle can be self-defeating by adding traction to it by providing it with credibility; taking too long, and the story may have already altered behavior negatively. Caldara and Iacoviello [14] believe managing geopolitical

narratives is about monitoring public opinion and media coverage in order to be able to forecast when they may induce significant economic change.

6.5. *Empirical Evidence on Contagion and Amplification*

A good amount of empirical data tracks how stories diffuse and resonate among media channels.

- News Sentiment Indices: Shapiro, et al. [20] verified how frequently “recession” stories used to happen in economic news and found that they had a high level of predictability of declines in consumer expenditure that would occur within a month or two.
- Social Media Analytics: Bollen, et al. [12] connected Twitter-based mood measures to stock market performance, monitoring extreme shifts in aggregate mood.
- Historical Crisis Analysis: Kindleberger and Aliber [9] documented systematic patterns in the rise and fall of speculative stories, such that evidence of stages of amplification resulted in market reversals.
- Cross-Media Tracking: Machine learning was used by Tuckett, et al. [8] to monitor the co-evolution of crisis narratives across conventional and social media during the financial crisis and identify amplification synchronization points.

6.6. *Policy and Practical Implications*

Discovery of mechanisms of contagion and amplification of narratives is policy-relevant for policymakers, central banks, and financial supervisors.

6.6.1. *Strategic Communication*

Deliberatory amplification can be addressed by central banks by delivering clear, consistent narratives through a range of channels, allowing less room for speculative reinterpretation [5].

7.6.2. *Counter-Narratives*

Through the timely deployment of credible counter-narratives during times of crisis, contagion can be contained. Thus, coordinated public assurance by financial authorities during the COVID-19 market turmoil anchored investor expectations in some jurisdictions [18].

6.6.3. *Monitoring and Early Warning Systems*

Incorporating narrative-monitoring methods—such as NLP-based sentiment metrics—into macroeconomic monitoring systems may offer the possibility for earlier detection of potentially destabilizing narratives. Such systems could perhaps be of most value for geopolitics or trade narratives, with the ability to trigger abrupt capital flows [14].

6.7. *Synthesis*

Narrative contagion and amplification are central to the mechanism whereby macroeconomic narratives are translated into collective, large-scale change in behavior. Empirical history confirms that narratives can:

1. Take off rapidly within highly connected networks, facilitated by emotion congruence and repetition.
2. Aggravate through feedback loops on policy, media, market, and public discourse channels.
3. Trigger nonlinear dynamics following adoption at or above critical tipping points.

These dynamics counter the norm of economic communication as a linear flow of information. Instead, they highlight a rich, interactive system whereby stories evolve, diffuse, and feed back to the economic environment they describe.

The next section meets criticisms and deficiencies in advancing macroeconomic narrative research, with methodological limitations being identified and setting the agenda for future work.

7. Critiques and Limitations of Current Literature

While the macroeconomic narrative scholarship has expanded significantly during the recent decades, it is still theoretically heterogeneous and methodologically disjointed. The empirical research confirms narratives to be expectation, confidence, and social behavior drivers, but also testifies to persisting challenges in definition, measurement, causality, and macroeconomic model incorporation. This section critically evaluates those limitations in six interrelated fields: definitional ambiguity, measurement problems, causality issues, channel separation, temporal volatility, and methodological heterogeneity.

7.1. *Definitional Ambiguity and Conceptual Overlap*

A simple flaw of the current literature is a lack of a standard definition for “macroeconomic narrative.” Some studies classify narratives by overall sentiment [19], and others use them as thematic pools or “topics” extracted from social media and news streams Tuckett, et al. [8]. Roos and Reccius [3] argue that most empirical work fails to differentiate between narratives—causally structured, socially shared stories—and isolated facts or frames.

This conceptual mismatch deters cross-study comparability. For example, Gurkaynak, et al. [7] are interested in central bank “narratives” as rational policy messages embedded in official declarations, whereas Bollen, et al. [12] record aggregate mood shifts on Twitter and need not map onto prolonged narrative structures. Without a common conceptual base, the empirical landscape risks blurring momentary emotional response with enduring narrative impact.

7.2. *Measurement Difficulties*

Even when definitions are trouble-free, it is not simple to measure. The literature uses extensively text-based proxies—sentiment scores, keyword frequencies, and topic models—to quantify constructs vulnerable to all sorts of bias.

7.3. *Context Sensitivity*

Definitions of narrative terms can be context-dependent. As an example, “recovery” can mean optimism in a specific setting but is used cynically or ironically in another [11]. Automated text analysis tools are likely to ignore these nuances, especially when the subject matter includes sarcasm, metaphor, or cultural references.

7.3.1. *Media and Platform Bias*

Sources of information bring on systematic biases. Mainstream media resort to sensationalized or negative framing to be heard [13] while social media algorithms amplify that which generates interaction, fact or fiction [12]. Thus, “narrative prevalence” as it is observed could actually be reflecting media dynamics rather than the underlying public opinion.

7.3.2. *Temporal Aggregation*

Narrative data are typically gathered at monthly or weekly frequencies for ease of analysis, which will mask high-frequency dynamics underlying real-time action [6]. It becomes challenging to spot rapid changes during crises or policy shifts.

7.4. *Establishing Causality*

Determining whether stories cause economic behavior or just tell it is the toughest methodological problem in this field.

7.4.1. *Reverse Causality*

Macroeconomic movement and changes in markets usually precede and dictate stories. A stock market drop, for example, can generate bad news coverage, which in turn fuels the drop—cause and effect become difficult to distinguish [10].

7.4.2. *Confounding Variables*

Narrative breaks tend to coincide with other macroeconomic shocks, which can make causal inference difficult. Even high-frequency event studies can find it hard to separate out narrative effects from contemporaneous releases of quantitative data [7].

7.4.3. *Experimental Limitations*

Survey experiments offer one potential answer in that they randomly assign subjects to various framings [17]), although their external validity is low. Answers in controlled laboratories might not transfer to real behavior under risk and uncertainty conditions.

7.5. *Disentangling Narrative Channels*

Though recent work records a number of routes of transmission—policy communication, media framing, market commentary, and public debate [6, 11]—there remains quite limited empirical research separating out their relative importance.

Multi-channel amplification [8] makes attribution difficult: when a narrative is seeded in a central bank announcement, amplified by mainstream media, and goes viral on social media, the behavioral change observed is the result of enmeshed influences. Few papers use methodological designs that are able to untangle such effects, e.g., structural vector autoregressions (SVARs) with narrative shocks attributed to particular channels.

7.6. *Temporal Instability and Narrative Evolution*

Narratives are dynamic, shifting in meaning and force. Perez [24] illustrates how narratives of technological change happen through “hype cycles,” first upbeat, then disappointing, finally stabilizing. Shiller [1] points out that narratives change, merging with other accounts and shifting focus with time. But much empirical work unwinds stories into static variables, observed at specific points and taken to have the same meaning across the sample period. This caricature will likely miss inflection points at which a story’s valence shifts—e.g., the “globalization” story shifting from pro-trade optimism in the early 2000s to pessimism after 2008 [18]. Such dynamics must be captured with more sophisticated modeling techniques, allowing state-dependent narrative effects.

7.7. *Methodological Fragmentation*

The cross-disciplinarity of the literature—drawn from economics, political science, sociology, and computational linguistics—is a strength that accompanies being a source of fragmentation.

7.7.1. *Divergent Units of Analysis*

Studies vary on whether they are concerned with narrative content [1] narrative prevalence [20] or narrative sentiment [11]. The heterogeneity makes it difficult to integrate findings into an understandable theoretical framework.

7.7.2. *Lack of Standardized Metrics*

Unlike conventional macroeconomic indicators (i.e., inflation rate, employment rate), there is no widely accepted measure of “narrative strength” or “narrative reach.” This complicates long-term history and cross-country comparison.

7.7.3. *Integration into Macroeconomic Models*

Although narrative economics has gained more mainstream attention, fundamental macroeconomic modeling still rarely incorporates narrative variables explicitly. Flynn and Sastry [2] demonstrate that adding narrative shocks to business-cycle models can provide much explanatory power, but these methods remain rare due to data limitations and model complexity.

7.8. *Geographic and Cultural Biases*

The majority of the empirical studies focus on developed economies—particularly the United States and Western Europe—where data availability is greatest. This creates a geographical bias that limits knowledge of narrative dynamics in emerging markets, where institutional trust, media environments, and informal communication networks may be different [14].

Cross-cultural research is especially sparse. Narratives that resonate in high-trust societies may fail in low-trust environments or even produce opposite behavioral responses [22]. Without broader geographic coverage, it is difficult to generalize findings.

7.9. *Overemphasis on Crisis Periods*

Periods of crisis are rich soil for the study of narratives because greater uncertainty increases public vulnerability to narrative [1]. This focus risks overstating the role of narratives during non-crisis times. Longitudinal studies of narratives across consistent economic periods are relatively rare, and therefore baseline effects are more challenging to measure against which to contrast crisis-driven ones.

7.10. *Data Access and Proprietary Constraints*

The majority of the most promising narrative datasets for research—such as expensive news archives, financial analyst reports, and firehose social media data—are proprietary. This constrains replication and slows methodological advancement. Publicly available datasets, though helpful, are often lacking in granularity or breadth appropriate for high-frequency or cross-country analysis.

7.11. *Synthesis of Limitations*

The list below of criticisms implies some broad flaws in the literature:

1. Concept fragmentation precludes comparability and synthesis across studies.
2. Measurement proxies in general do not handle narrative complexity, context, and evolution well.
3. Causal inference is still problematic with endogeneity and reverse causality.
4. Channel attribution is primitive and limits comprehension of amplification processes.
5. Temporal dynamics are infrequently modeled, despite evidence for narrative mutation having been discovered.
6. Generalizability to other cultures is constrained by geographic clustering of studies.
7. Methodological mainstreaming in macroeconomic models is the exception rather than the rule.

This will be a function of overcoming such constraints through methodological innovation, interdisciplinarity, and new data availability. The final section addresses future prospects and research gaps, outlining an action plan to overcome them in mainstreaming narrative economics more completely into the agenda for macroeconomic research.

8. **Research Gaps and Future Directions**

Though the literature on macroeconomic narrative has achieved remarkable conceptual and empirical traction, it is incomplete in scope, methodological richness, and theoretical integration. It will require an integrated, multidisciplinary research agenda to fill in the gaps that are discussed in Section 7. This section points out six areas where effort is needed in the future: conceptual standardization, improved measurement, causal identification, channel attribution, temporal and cross-cultural extension,

and integration into macroeconomic models. Every region is a step towards a more integrated explanation of how stories function as macroeconomic cues affecting the actions of individuals.

8.1. Standardizing the Definition of Macroeconomic Narratives

The very first place of research demand is the conceptual fragmentation of what constitutes a macroeconomic narrative. Roos and Reccius [3] note that different literature denotes narrative differently as sets of keywords, rough sentiment indicators, or even fully specified causal stories. There is no common definition, in the absence of which comparability across various studies is significantly diminished, and meta-analyses become almost impossible.

8.1.1. Future Directions

- Create a taxonomy of the economic narratives differentiated between the event-driven narratives, such as “Brexit uncertainty”; the structural narratives, such as “globalization benefits all”; and the episodic narratives, such as “housing market crash of 2008.”
- Establish criteria for narrative classification, including longevity, thematic coherence, and diffusion mechanisms.
- Promote journal guidelines or conference consensus statements analogous to reporting standards for economic experiments to make narrative definitions for scholarly work formal.

A shared conceptual framework would allow integration of fragmented findings and systematic cumulative development of knowledge across settings and fields.

8.2. Improving Measurement and Data Quality

Despite the advancements in computational text analysis, the field has not been doing justice to narrative subtlety yet. Current approaches—such as sentiment scoring [20] and keyword frequency tracking—are bound to miss context, irony, or development in a story.

Future directions

- Improve the use of context-aware NLP models, such as transformer-based models, that can capture semantic shifts and recognize sarcasm or metaphor in economic language.
- Create multilingual narrative corpora to reduce English-language bias and facilitate comparative analysis on a global scale.
- Integrate multimodal information—images, video transcripts, and memes—into narrative analysis, especially in social media environments where visual information dominates the sharing of stories [12].
- Create metrics for narrative coherence that distinguish between brief mentions and sustained storytelling by detecting not just the existence of keywords but also the causal and logical narrative structure.

Improved measuring devices would enhance the validity and the reliability of indicators based on narratives, and thus, they could be more appropriately integrated into economic models and policy analysis.

8.3. Advancing Causal Identification

Showing causality remains one of the biggest methodological challenges. Reverse causality—economic outcomes influence stories as much as stories influence outcomes [10]—afflicts much research. This limits the scope for making policy-relevant claims about narrative management.

Future directions:

- Employ instrumental variable (IV) methods where exogenous shocks (e.g., natural disasters, unforeseen political shocks) produce narrative discontinuities not correlated with present economic fundamentals.

- Use high-frequency identification in event-study designs to separate the content of the narrative's effect from concurrent data releases [7].
- Conduct field experiments with randomized exposure to different narrative framings, tracking behavioral effects in real or simulated market environments [17].
- Look at structural vector autoregressions (SVARs) featuring explicitly identified narrative shocks, which are different from traditional macroeconomic shocks.

Strengthening causal inference would allow researchers and policymakers to better balance the costs and benefits of narrative interventions.

8.4. *Disentangling Narrative Transmission Channels*

As discussed in Sections 4 and 6, narratives diffuse through multiple overlapping channels—policy communication, traditional media, financial commentary, and public discourse [6, 11]. Empirical work is rarely capable of isolating the relative contribution of each channel.

Future directions:

- Build multi-source panel data that tracks the same story across channels with variation in framing, scope, and sentiment.
- Use network analysis to visualize interlinkages between channels, including key amplifiers and gatekeepers.
- Use causal mediation analysis to quantify each channel's share of the impact of a narrative, distinguishing it from direct policy effect and media- or market-mediated impact.
- Incorporate temporal order into models to determine if policy announcements do or do not shape media framing before their general effects.

Dismantling these effects would tell us which channels are most critical for effective policy messaging and for forestalling harmful narrative contagion.

8.5. *Expanding Temporal and Cross-Cultural Scope*

Current narrative evidence is highly skewed toward periods of crisis and high-income countries [1, 18]. This bias lowers the external validity of findings.

Future directions

- Conduct longitudinal studies following narratives during stable and crisis periods and establish baseline levels of non-crisis narrative influence.
- Perform cross-national comparative analysis to determine how cultural factors, such as memory or institutions [14] are influencing how stories are received and what impact they have.
- Embracing new and developing economies, where non-formal networks of communication and social media can make greater contributions to narrative diffusion.
- Superscope global narratives that exist below the level of nations, especially in the case of large and diverse economies, where subnational economic narratives can influence localized behavior.

Superscope would help determine if narrative effects that are observed are universal or context-dependent.

8.6. *Integrating Narratives into Macroeconomic Models*

Although narrative economics has intellectual traction, it is only partially absorbed into mainstream macroeconomic modeling. Flynn and Sastry [2] demonstrate that incorporating narrative variables into business-cycle models can increase explanatory power but be the exception.

Future directions:

- Develop narrative-rich Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) models in which narratives condition the formation of expectations and decision rules in conjunction with standard shocks.

- Develop agent-based simulations to simulate the diffusion of narratives across disparate populations and to feed back into aggregate macros [24].
- Enter real-time narrative indices into inflation, output, and market volatility forecasting models.
- Enter real-time narrative indices into inflation, output, and market volatility forecasting models.

The inclusion of narratives in formal modeling would allow for quantitative evaluation of narrative policy tools, which could lead to more anticipatory and adaptive policymaking.

8.7. *Building Collaborative Infrastructure*

Because narrative research is interdisciplinary in nature, a collaborative infrastructure will be necessary for continued advances.

Future directions:

- Create shared narrative databases, aggregating textual, image, and network information from various countries and time frames.
- Create open-source NLP tools specifically for economic narrative analysis, allowing researchers to reproduce and extend existing work.
- Establish cross-disciplinary research networks that include economists, linguists, data scientists, and psychologists to formulate more sophisticated theoretical and empirical frameworks.

This type of infrastructure would reduce duplication of effort, make things more transparent, and accelerate methodological innovation.

8.8. *Policy Implications for Future Research*

The macroeconomic narrative work policy application needs greater engagement between policymakers and academics. Central banks, international organizations, and government finances can benefit from the employment of real-time narrative tracking, while researchers can enhance methodology through insider proof on the communicative policy strategies.

8.8.1. *Future Directions*

- Pilot narrative tracking units in central banks and ministries of finance with a mandate to detect and evaluate new economic narratives.
- Develop policy playbooks for narrative intervention in times of crisis, outlining when to initiate counter-narratives, increase positive narratives, or remain silent to avoid amplification.
- Perform ex-post policy analysis, which examines whether and how the “official stories” had their intended impact on expectations and behavior.

With research married to policy needs, narrative economics can shift from being an excessively descriptive field to being prescriptive, offering economic message strategy advice with practical application.

8.9. *Synthesis*

Closing these research gaps will require a multi-dimensional solution: conceptual standardization to secure consistent definitions, methodological advances to improve measurement and causality, empirical designs for channel control, broader coverage over time and space, integration into macroeconomic models, and institutions to facilitate data sharing.

Overcoming these challenges will not only make narrative economics a stronger academic field but also increase its policy and marketplace relevance. Since narratives will increasingly determine economic action from crisis response to structural transformation, the ability to understand, measure, and strategically work with them will be an essential aspect of economic governance.

9. Implications for Policy and Practice

The evidence from this review supports that macroeconomic narratives are not fringe observations on economic events; they are central influencers of expectations, confidence, and mass conduct. For policymakers, market actors, and media, the stakes are high: narratives can be used to anchor expectations and guide conduct or propagate uncertainty, distort decision-making, and amplify volatility if misused. This section synthesizes the empirical and theoretical results of the review into concise policy recommendations for policymakers, market players, and media professionals.

9.1. Implications for Policymakers

Policymakers, especially central banks, fiscal authorities, and multilateral organizations, are the most trusted and observable providers of macroeconomic narratives. Their words are not only explanatory but also build reality in a manner that shapes public and market opinion.

Good policy communication needs to appreciate that audiences decode messages using cognitive and emotional filters [1, 11]. Central banks, for instance, can tell stories that aim for a balance between transparency and strategic ambiguity. Too technical statements have the potential to alienate the public, and too simplistic ones can invite misinterpretation.

9.1.1. Practical recommendation

- Connect behavioral insights to policy communications such that tone, form, and examples are employed to resonate with targeted audiences [6].
- Use sustained framing in the long term to maintain policy credibility, but adjust wording to evolving economic conditions.

9.1.2. Messaging Timing and Coordination

Narratives are timely. Failure to respond to painful stories allows them to take hold; timely words can inadvertently legitimize unverified fear [14].

9.1.2.1. Practical Recommendation

- Establish real-time monitoring units to track upsurging stories via media outlets.
- Coordinate messaging among agencies to avoid sending conflicting messages, particularly during crisis periods when amplification forces are strongest [18].

9.1.3. Deploying Counter-Narratives

When perilous myths are in circulation—such as unfounded fears of currency collapse—authorities are able to offer counter-myths based on hard facts. These are more effective when delivered by credible voices and transmitted through a variety of channels [5].

Practical recommendation:

- Favor framing counter-myths in terms of concrete, available examples rather than broad promises.
- Leverage partnerships with media and opinion leaders to further dissemination.

9.1.4. Implications for Market Participants

Financial market agents—investment banks, asset managers, and corporate executives—are producers and consumers of macroeconomic narratives. Analyst commentary, forecasts, and market analysis exert significant impacts upon the economic event frame.

9.1.5. *Narrative Awareness in Decision-Making*

There is overdependence on prevailing stories, which can lead to herding and mispricings of assets [10]. Market participants should take into consideration the narrative context influencing peer behaviors alongside fundamental analysis.

Practical recommendation:

- Develop narrative-based risk assessment models that identify dominant market stories and establish their likelihood over fundamentals.
- Employ narrative sentiment variables to incorporate into investment models to forecast shifts in group mood [20].

9.1.6. *Managing Internal Communication*

Company internal narratives—what management talks about in describing the condition of the market—affect investments, new hires, and employees' risk-taking. Repetitive and evidence-based internal narratives can increase strategic consistency and reduce reactionary decision-making.

9.1.6.1. *Practical recommendation*

- Align internal stories with externally credible narratives to ensure consistency.
- Refrain from using language that could elicit excessive risk aversion or overconfidence.

9.1.7. *Long-Term vs. Short-Term Narrative Horizons*

All narratives are not of the same duration. Event-driven short-term stories (e.g., “rate hike cycle”) necessitate alternative strategic responses compared to structural long-term stories (e.g., “green energy transition”) [21].

9.1.8. *Practical recommendation*

- Differentiate between fleeting and long-lasting stories in portfolio strategy.
- Commit resources to scenario planning based on possible shifts in dominant long-term narratives.

9.1.9. *Implications for Media Actors*

The media has a dual role: it is both an agent and a shaper of macroeconomic narratives. Editorial choices about what to cover, how to cover it, and in what tone to cover it have measurable economic effects [11, 13].

9.1.10. *Balancing Accuracy and Engagement*

Commercial demands to attract the audience propel economic journalism, introducing bias in reporting in favor of negative and affective framing. While interest increases with such framing, it encourages loss aversion and pessimistic biases among the population [11].

9.1.10.1. *Practical recommendation*

- Embrace editorial norms with emphasis on balanced framing without losing sight of clarity and accessibility.
- Include contextual information as well as narrative frames to ground audience interpretations.

9.1.11. *Mitigating Amplification of Harmful Narratives*

The media institutions have the tendency to blow up destabilizing stories unintentionally by propagating them without adequate fact-checking or refutation [12]. This is particularly risky in the case of viral misinformation over social networks.

9.1.11.1. *Practical recommendation*

- Establish fact-checking practices before distributing high-salience economic claims.
- Engage economists and policy analysts in rendering expert explanations of controversial stories.

9.1.12. *Engaging in Public Economic Education*

The media can help demystify economics and promote economic literacy by revealing economic affairs. This can reverse citizens' over-reliance on misleading or oversimplified reports.

Practical recommendation:

- Develop explanatory journalism that tracks the manner macroeconomic stories are framed and shared and influence action.
- Invest in interactive content that allows readers to drill down into the data underlying a story.

9.2. *Cross-Sector Collaboration*

With the interdependence of narrative channels, sound management in most cases involves coordination between policymakers, market players, and media.

9.2.1. *Narrative Coordination in Crises*

In the presence of spikes in deep uncertainty—such as economic or geopolitical shocks—the alignment of narratives across sectors can tie down expectations and reduce panic [18].

Practical recommendation:

- Create crisis communication task forces that include policymakers, market representatives, and journalists to coordinate messaging priorities.
- Provide stakeholders with real-time sentiment and narrative tracking to help them align.

9.2.2. *Ethical Considerations*

While coordinated narratives can potentially be stabilizing, they raise issues about the manipulation or suppression of minority opinions. Transparency in narrative strategy is the key to maintaining trust.

Practical recommendation:

- Disclose narrative framing objectives where possible.
- Resolve verifiably incorrect assertions while promoting plurality in public conversation.

9.2.3. *Leveraging Technology for Narrative Monitoring*

The rise of big data analytics and NLP offers new tools for real-time narrative monitoring. These technologies can be used by corporations, policymakers, and media organizations to pre-empt emerging narratives and pre-set strategy in advance.

Practical recommendation:

- Use automated topic and sentiment tracking on new and old media [20].
- Utilize network analysis to identify important story amplifiers and potential choke points in the diffusion channel.
- Implement machine learning predictions in the decision to mimic potential behavioral impacts of storytelling modification.

9.2.4. *Prevention of Unintended Consequences*

While active narrative management has benefits, risks are involved. Overuse of positive framing can harm credibility when outcomes fail to match the rhetoric [5]. Similarly, assertive counter-narratives may turn more individuals' attention toward negative stories, inadvertently amplifying them—a dynamic that research into crisis communication has noted [8].

9.2.5. Practical Recommendation

- Pre-deployment narrative strategy testing in mock environments or focus groups.
- Monitor post-deployment impacts on sentiment and behavior to adjust communication in real time.

9.3. Synthesis

Given that macroeconomic narratives are dynamic forces that shape the world rather than static representations of reality, the practical importance of story economics is clear. Policymakers have it in their power to stabilize expectations through narrative, market actors can incorporate narrative risk into decision-making, and media institutions can amplify good narratives while diminishing bad ones.

But this potential comes with a responsibility. Poorly managed narratives can destabilize markets, shatter trust, and deform public choice. Cross-sector collaboration, open goals, and rigorous monitoring systems are needed to squeeze out the benefits while sidestepping the dangers.

The concluding section will summarily capture the paper, integrating the conceptual foundations, empirical results, and practical implications in a consistent closing case for inscribing narrative analysis within mainstream macroeconomic research and policy.

10. Conclusion

This review has explored how macroeconomic narratives—socially shared, framed stories about the economy—are signals framing expectations, influencing confidence, and inspiring collective economic action. Drawing on theoretical understandings from rational expectations, behavioral economics, signaling theory, narrative economics, and sociological analysis, we have shown that narratives are not passive reflections of economic fundamentals as they tend to be. Instead, they actually build economic realities, frame quantitative facts into qualitative stories, and elicit behavioral change through multi-channel transmission and amplification.

Empirical data confirm that policy communication narratives, media framings, market commentaries, and public discourse have measurable impacts on consumption, investment, asset prices, and public sentiment. Central bank forward guidance, fiscal policy signals, crisis management announcements, and geopolitical narratives are among many factors that all feed back into market forces and public action independent of fundamental information [7, 14, 15]. These effects are magnified through contagion and amplification processes like repetition, emotional connection, network transmission, and feedback loops that can multiply narrative power far beyond fact content.

In policy and practice terms, the message is clear: stories can be powerful at shaping economic expectations but can destabilize markets and demolish trust if they go awry. Policymakers need to monitor emerging narratives in real time, coordinate cross-agency messaging, and employ credible counter-narratives to fight back against corrosive stories that catch hold. Market participants need to balance narrative risk alongside regular fundamentals, and the media need to balance the imperatives of involvement with discipline and economic expertise.

10.1. Limitations of Current Literature

Despite progress in this review, significant limitations remain:

- 1) Conceptual Ambiguity – The term “macroeconomic narrative” is used heterogeneously, as in some studies, narratives are rendered equivalent to sentiment or topic clusters rather than coherent, causally sequenced narratives [3]. This definition’s imprecision restricts both synthesis and cross-study comparability.
- 2) Measurement Challenges – Current proxies, most commonly keyword frequencies or sentiment measures, struggle to capture context, irony, and narrative progression [20]. Data biases resulting from media selection biases and platform algorithms may distort estimates of narrative prevalence.

- 3) Causality Issues—It remains difficult to determine whether or not narratives lead to economic performances or merely reflect them due to endogeneity and reverse causality [10]. Little literature employs strong identification strategies capable of detecting causal effects.
- 4) Channel Attribution—Though there is diffusion of narratives through multiple channels, very few empirical designs exist that distinguish their relative impact or amplification order through policy, media, markets, and public discourse [6].
- 5) Temporal and Geographic Biases – The literature is skewed towards advanced economies and crisis periods, with blind spots for how narratives function in emerging markets, in non-crisis periods, or in cross-cultural environments [14, 18].
- 6) Integration with Macroeconomic Models—While there is encouraging research on the incorporation of narratives into business-cycle models [2] narrative variables are not generally incorporated into formal macroeconomic forecasting or policy simulation models.

10.2. Recommendations for Future Research

Subsequent studies need to give the following topmost priority to overcome the limitations stated above:

- Conceptual Standardization: Set a common definition and typology of macroeconomic narratives with a differentiation between structural, episodic, and event-driven types. Also, standardized criteria for identification and classification must be established.
- Enhanced Measurement Techniques – Detect sarcasm, linguistic variance, and semantic drift with sophisticated NLP models. Also, employ multimodal data (video, audio, text, and images) for greater narrative richness, especially within social media contexts.
- Causal Inference Strategies—Implement instrumental variables, high-frequency event studies, randomized framing experiments, and structural vector autoregressions (SVARs) with narrative shocks to better identify causality.
- Channel Disentanglement – Build multi-source datasets with the same storyline across channels, employ network analysis to map amplification paths, and apply mediation analysis to quantify every channel's contribution to behavior impacts.
- Broader Temporal and Cross-Cultural Scope – Extend analysis to non-crisis periods, emerging markets, and cross-cultural contexts to increase generalizability. Analyze the effects of institutional trust, historical memory, and cultural framing on narrative acceptance.
- Model Integration—DSGE and agent-based simulations are examples of macroeconomic models that explicitly integrate narratives as factors influencing expectation development and decision-making. Apply the models to simulate the likely impact of different narrative approaches on key macroeconomic variables.
- Collaborative Infrastructure—Create open-access narrative databases, standard analytical tools, and cross-disciplinary research networks to encourage transparency, replication, and innovation in narrative research.

10.3. Closing Remarks

Macroeconomic narratives are an intermediary between economic data and human decision-making. They are the vehicle by which complex realities are simplified, translated, traded, and tracked. The evidence provided here suggests that stories can be as powerful as traditional economic indicators in structuring collective action—often more so when uncertainty is high or institutional confidence is low.

With the double promise of narratives—to be tools of stabilization or sources of instability—comes a responsibility for policymakers, market participants, and media operators to engage with them reflectively and strategically. For researchers, the challenge is to construct the conceptual, empirical,

and modeling tools that allow for insight into narratives commensurate with that given to more traditional economic variables.

By bridging the methodological and conceptual holes revealed here, future research can not only enhance our theoretical understanding of narrative economics but also provide practical advice for managing the narratives that make up the global economy. The use of narrative analysis in public relations, market strategy, and economic policy-making in the twenty-first century can thus become a natural function.

Transparency:

The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

Copyright:

© 2025 by the author. This open-access article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

References

- [1] R. J. Shiller, "Narrative economics: How stories go viral and drive major economic events," *American Economic Review*, vol. 107, no. 4, pp. 967–1004, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.107.4.967>
- [2] Z. Flynn and A. Sastry, "Macroeconomics with narratives," NBER Working Paper No. 32602 National Bureau of Economic Research, 2024.
- [3] M. Roos and M. Reccius, "Narratives in economics," *Journal of Economic Surveys*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 303–341, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12576>
- [4] M. Spence, "Job market signaling," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 355–374, 1973. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1882010>
- [5] M. Ehrmann and M. Fratzscher, "Communication by central bank committee members: Different strategies, same effectiveness?," *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, vol. 39, no. 2–3, pp. 509–541, 2007.
- [6] S. Hansen and M. McMahon, "Shocking language: Understanding the macroeconomic effects of central bank communication," *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 99, pp. S114–S133, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2015.12.008>
- [7] R. S. Gurkaynak, B. Sack, and E. T. Swanson, "Do actions speak louder than words? The response of asset prices to monetary policy actions and statements," *International Journal of Central Banking*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 55–93, 2005.
- [8] D. Tuckett, R. E. Smith, and R. Nyman, "Tracking phantastic objects: A computer algorithmic investigation of narrative evolution in unstructured data sources," *Social Networks*, vol. 38, pp. 121–133, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2014.03.001>
- [9] C. P. Kindleberger and R. Z. Aliber, *Manias, panics and crashes: A history of financial crises*, 6th ed. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
- [10] N. Barberis, A. Shleifer, and R. Vishny, "A model of investor sentiment," *Journal of Financial Economics*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 307–343, 1998. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(98\)00027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(98)00027-0)
- [11] S. N. Soroka, "Good news and bad news: Asymmetric responses to economic information," *The Journal of Politics*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 372–385, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2006.00413.x>
- [12] J. Bollen, H. Mao, and X. Zeng, "Twitter mood predicts the stock market," *Journal of Computational Science*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2010.12.007>
- [13] R. Nadeau, R. G. Niemi, D. P. Fan, and T. Amato, "Elite economic forecasts, economic news, mass economic judgments, and presidential approval," *The Journal of Politics*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 109–135, 1999.
- [14] D. Caldara and M. Iacoviello, "Measuring geopolitical risk," *American Economic Review*, vol. 112, no. 4, pp. 1194–1225, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20191823>
- [15] A. Alesina, C. Favero, and F. Giavazzi, *Austerity: When it works and when it doesn't*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2019.
- [16] O. A. Lamont, "Macroeconomic forecasts and microeconomic forecasters," *Journal of Economic behavior & Organization*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 265–280, 2002. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681\(01\)00219-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681(01)00219-0)
- [17] A. S. Blinder and A. B. Krueger, "What does the public know about economic policy, and how does it know it?," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, vol. 2004, no. 1, pp. 327–397, 2004.
- [18] R. Baldwin and B. Weder di Mauro, *Economics in the Time of COVID-19*. United Kingdom: CEPR Press, 2020.

- [19] J. Dovern and J. Weisser, "Accuracy, unbiasedness and efficiency of professional macroeconomic forecasts: An empirical comparison for the G7," *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 452–465, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2010.05.016>

Get rights and content

- [20] A. H. Shapiro, M. Sudhof, and D. J. Wilson, "Measuring news sentiment," *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 220, no. 2, pp. 376–392, 2020.
- [21] M. A. Kayser and C. Wlezien, "Performance pressure: Patterns of partisanship and the economic vote," *European Journal of Political Research*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 365–394, 2011.
- [22] L. R. Jacobs and J. S. Matthews, *The democratic dilemma: Can citizens learn what they need to know?* United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [23] L. Kilian and X. Zhou, "Oil prices, exchange rates, and interest rates," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 102, no. 4, pp. 779–796, 2020.
- [24] C. Perez, *Technological revolutions and financial capital: The dynamics of bubbles and golden ages*. United Kingdom: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2002.
- [25] M. Mazzucato, *The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public vs. private sector myths*. United Kingdom: Anthem Press, 2013.