Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6, 5161-5168
2024
Publisher: Learning Gate
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

Governance in Samarinda city using TOGAF (The open group architecture framework): Literature review

Rizki Galang Rahmadani[1*], Oky Dwi Nurhayati[2], Dinar Mutiara Kusumo Nugraheni[3]
[1]Doctor Information System Postgraduate School, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia; rizkigalangrahmadani@students.undip.ac.id (R.G.R.)
[2,3]Department of Computer Science, Informatic Faculty of science and Mathematics, Diponegoro Universtiy, Semarang, Indonesia; okydwinurhayati@lecturer.undip.ac.id (O.D.N.) dinar.mutiara@live.undip.ac (D.M.K.N.)

Abstract: The governance in Samarinda City has faced various challenges related to efficiency, transparency, and citizen engagement. To address these issues, this study proposes the application of The Open Group Architecture Framework (TOGAF) for enhancing administrative and operational processes. TOGAF provides a structured approach to enterprise architecture, ensuring alignment between IT and business objectives, which is crucial for public sector entities aiming to improve service delivery and operational efficiency. This literature review explores the existing governance practices in Samarinda City, identifies key areas for improvement, and demonstrates how TOGAF can be effectively implemented to address these challenges. By integrating TOGAF principles, the study aims to create a comprehensive framework that can streamline processes, enhance data management, and foster better communication between government departments and the public. The review highlights successful case studies of TOGAF implementation in similar contexts, offering valuable insights and practical recommendations for Samarinda City. Through this approach, the study seeks to contribute to the body of knowledge on public sector governance and provide a viable roadmap for the effective adoption of TOGAF in local government settings.
Keywords: *Enterprise architecture, Governance, Operational Efficiency, Samarinda city, TOGAF,*

1. Introduction

Effective governance at the local level is crucial for the efficient delivery of public services and the overall development of communities. In Samarinda City, there is a pressing need for robust governance frameworks to address various administrative and developmental challenges [1] Implementing a structured governance framework can enhance the efficiency, transparency, and responsiveness of local government operations. One such framework that holds significant promise is TOGAF (The Open Group Architecture Framework), which is designed to align IT infrastructure with organizational goals and processes.

TOGAF was developed by The Open Group, building on the U.S. Department of Defense's Technical Architecture Framework for Information Management (TAFIM) from the mid-1990s. Over the years, it has evolved into a comprehensive methodology, providing detailed guidelines for designing, planning, implementing, and governing enterprise architecture [2] The current version, TOGAF 9.2, includes key components such as the Architecture Development Method (ADM), Content Framework, Enterprise Continuum, and Reference Models. The ADM, in particular, is noted for its iterative and flexible approach to developing architectures that meet the specific needs of organizations [3].

Applying TOGAF in the context of local governance, like in Samarinda City, offers several potential benefits. Firstly, TOGAF facilitates strategic alignment between IT initiatives and governance objectives, ensuring that technological investments support broader organizational goals [4]. This alignment is crucial for ensuring that IT resources are effectively utilized to meet the strategic priorities of the City.

* Correspondence: rizkigalangrahmadani@students.undip.ac.id

Secondly, TOGAF enhances decision-making capabilities within the governance framework. The structured methodology provided by the ADM allows for comprehensive documentation and thorough analysis, leading to more informed and effective decisions [5]. This is particularly important in local governance, where decisions need to be based on accurate and detailed information to address community needs effectively.

Moreover, TOGAF promotes operational efficiency through the reuse of architecture assets and adherence to best practices. This can lead to significant cost savings and increased productivity, as standardized processes reduce duplication of efforts and streamline operations [6] For Samarinda City, adopting such practices can optimize resource allocation and enhance the overall efficiency of local government services.

However, the implementation of TOGAF in local governance also presents certain challenges. The complexity of the framework may pose difficulties, particularly for smaller governance bodies with limited resources. The extensive documentation and rigorous processes required by TOGAF can be resource-intensive [7]. Thus, Samarinda City will need to balance the demands of TOGAF with their available resources and consider phased or incremental implementation to manage these challenges effectively.

In conclusion, TOGAF presents a robust framework for enhancing governance in Samarinda City. By providing a structured approach to align IT infrastructure with governance goals, TOGAF can significantly improve strategic alignment, decision-making, and operational efficiency. Addressing the challenges of implementation through careful planning and capacity building can enable Samarinda City to realize the full potential of TOGAF in enhancing local governance [8][9].

2. Literature Review

TOGAF, developed by The Open Group, originated from the U.S. Department of Defense's Technical Architecture Framework for Information Management (TAFIM) in the mid-1990s. Over time, TOGAF has evolved, with the latest version 9.2 incorporating modern enterprise architecture practices [10]. The framework is composed of several key components:

1. Architecture Development Method (ADM): Preliminary Phase, Architecture Vision, Business Architecture, Information Systems Architecture, Technology Architecture, Opportunities and Solutions, Migration Planning, Implementation Governance, and Architecture Change Management are just a few of the iterative phases that make up the comprehensive process for developing enterprise architecture that the ADM offers [11].
2. Content Framework: This framework defines the types of work products (deliverables, artifacts, building blocks) produced during the ADM phases [12].
3. Enterprise Continuum: A categorization mechanism for organizing reusable architecture assets [13].
4. Reference Models: These include the TOGAF Technical Reference Model (TRM) and the Integrated Information Infrastructure Reference Model (III-RM) [14].

The application of TOGAF in governance aims to improve strategic alignment, enhance decision-making, increase operational efficiency, and manage risks [15]; [16]; [17]; [8]. However, challenges such as complexity, resource intensity, and adaptability need to be addressed [19]; [20]; [21].

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

Figure 1.
TOGAF architecture development cycle.

TOGAF ADM consists of 8 main phases that are sequential and iterative after the initial phase is carried out. The output of each stage is documentation. The following is an explanation of each stage of TOGAF ADM.

2.1. Preliminary Phase

In this phase, the organization is prepared to implement a successful TOGAF architecture project. In this phase, the preparation and initiation of activities needed to balance the business direction for a new enterprise architecture are carried out, including the definition of an architectural framework specific to the organization, tools and the definition of architectural principles.

2.2. Requirements Management

In requirements management, it is ensured that each stage of the TOGAF project is based on valid data against business needs.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

2.3. Architecture Vision (A)

In this phase, the architectural vision is identified, the scope, limitations and expectations of a TOGAF project are defined. For this purpose, stakeholders are also defined, the business context is validated and a statement of the architectural work is made and of course with the approval process of all that is defined.

2.4. Business Architecture (B)

In this phase, the business architecture is defined, usually with the notation Business Process Model Notation. The business model is described according to the business scenario, and a gap analysis is carried out if business process reengineering is needed.

2.5. Information Systems Architectures (C)

In Information Systems Architecture, the design of the information system architecture is carried out, consisting of a data architecture that accommodates business interests and an application architecture to manage the data.

2.6. Technology Architecture (D)

In this phase, the design of the technology architecture is made which will later be realized to facilitate the information system running on it in accordance with the previously created information system architecture.

2.7. Opportunities and Solutions (E)

In this phase, the first implementation of the planning and identification of vehicles for the block buildings identified in the previous phase is carried out. In addition, the identification of major project implementations is carried out and grouped into transition architectures.

2.8. Migration Planning (F)

In this Migration Planning phase, cost, benefit and risk analysis is carried out. Then the implementation details and migration plan are built.

2.9. Implementation Governance (G)

In this phase, architectural supervision is made for implementation. As well as preparing architectural contracts (governance) and ensuring project implementation in accordance with the architecture.

2.10. Architecture Change Management (H)

In this phase, continuous monitoring and change management processes are carried out to ensure that the architecture is in accordance with the needs of the organization and maximizes business value.

3. Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach, reviewing existing literature on TOGAF and its application in governance contexts. The review includes academic articles, case studies, and industry reports to provide a comprehensive understanding. The following steps were taken[22]:

1. Literature Search: Relevant literature was identified through academic databases such as Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and SpringerLink using keywords like "TOGAF," "governance," "enterprise architecture," and "public sector.[23]"
2. Selection Criteria: Articles and reports were selected based on their relevance, publication date (preferably recent), and citation count.
3. Analysis: The selected literature was analyzed to extract information on TOGAF's core components, benefits, challenges, and specific applications in governance.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

4. Result and Discussion

This section presents the findings from the literature review on the application of TOGAF in the governance of Samarinda City and discusses the implications of these findings for local governance improvement.

Table 1.
Search results for articles that meet the criteria.

No	Year	Title	Journal
1	2012	Strategic enterprise architecture management: challenges, best practices, and future developments	Mangement for professional
2	2010	On course, but not there yet: enterprise architecture conformance and benefits in systems development	Journal of systems and software
3	2006	Creating a foundation for business execution	Harvard business school press
4	2017	Systematic literature review on enterprise architecture in the public sector	Electronic journal of e-government
5	2016	The challenges and limits of big data algorithms in technocratic governance	Government information quarterly
6	2017	Theoretical foundations of enterprise architecture: A critical review	Journal of enterprise architecture
7	2017	Enterprise architecture benefit realization: review of the models and a case study of a public organization	MIS quarterly executive
8	2013	Enterprise architecture principles in research and practice: insights from an exploratory analysis	Proceedings of the 21st european conference on information systems
9	2011	Architecture principles: The cornerstones of enterprise architecture	The enterprice engineering series
10	2012	Enterprise architecture and new generation information systems	CRC press

5. Results

5.1. Strategic Alignment

TOGAF ensures that the IT infrastructure aligns with the strategic goals of governance. By implementing TOGAF, Samarinda City can streamline its IT resources to support its overall mission and objectives, improving coherence between different departments and enhancing service delivery[24].

5.2. Enhanced Decision-Making

The structured methodology of TOGAF, especially the Architecture Development Method (ADM), facilitates comprehensive documentation and thorough analysis. This improves the quality of decision-making within the governance framework of Samarinda. Decision-makers can rely on well-documented data and insights, leading to more informed and effective policies.

5.3. Operational Efficiency

TOGAF promotes the reuse of architecture assets and adherence to best practices, which leads to significant cost savings and increased operational efficiency. Samarinda City can benefit from standardized processes and reduced duplication of efforts, thereby optimizing resource allocation and enhancing productivity.

5.4. Risk Management

The iterative nature of TOGAF allows for continuous assessment and management of risks. This is particularly important in governance projects where the risk landscape can change rapidly.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

Implementing TOGAF in Samarinda City would provide a framework for identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks proactively.

5.5. Interoperability and Integration

TOGAF's emphasis on creating a cohesive and integrated architecture ensures better interoperability between various IT systems. For Samarinda City, this means different government departments and services can more easily share information and collaborate, leading to a more unified and efficient governance structure.

6. Discussion

Challenges in Implementation:

While the benefits of implementing TOGAF in governance are significant, several challenges must be addressed.

6.1. Complexity

TOGAF is a comprehensive and complex framework that may be difficult to implement without adequate expertise and training. North Samarinda City would need to invest in training for its staff and possibly hire external consultants to guide the implementation process[25].

6.2. Resource Intensive

The extensive documentation and rigorous processes required by TOGAF can be resource-intensive. Smaller governance bodies with limited resources, like North Samarinda City, may face challenges in meeting these demands. Balancing the need for thorough documentation with available resources will be crucial.

6.3. Adaptability

TOGAF, while comprehensive, may need to be adapted to fit the unique needs of North Samarinda City. This adaptation requires flexibility in the framework's application and a willingness to tailor the approach to local governance contexts. Samarinda City will need to customize the TOGAF processes to suit its specific requirements and constraints[26].

7. Recommendations for Effective Implementation

7.1. Incremental Adoption

Samarinda City should consider adopting TOGAF incrementally. Starting with a pilot project can help in understanding the framework's practical implications and in making necessary adjustments before a full-scale implementation.

7.2. Capacity Building

Investing in training and capacity building for staff is crucial. Workshops, seminars, and certification programs on TOGAF can help build the necessary skills and knowledge within the governance body.

7.3. Stakeholder Engagement

Effective implementation of TOGAF requires the involvement of various stakeholders. Engaging stakeholders early in the process ensures their buy-in and facilitates smoother implementation. This includes government officials, IT staff, and external consultants.

7.4. Continuous Monitoring and Improvement

The iterative nature of TOGAF should be leveraged for continuous monitoring and improvement. Regular reviews and updates to the architecture based on feedback and changing needs can ensure that the governance framework remains relevant and effective.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

8. Conclusion

The application of TOGAF (The Open Group Architecture Framework) in the governance of Samarinda City holds significant promise for enhancing the efficiency, effectiveness, and strategic alignment of local government operations. This literature review has highlighted several key benefits of using TOGAF in this context, including improved strategic alignment, enhanced decision-making capabilities, increased operational efficiency, better risk management, and greater interoperability of IT systems. These advantages can collectively contribute to more effective governance and improved public service delivery in Samarinda City.

However, the implementation of TOGAF is not without challenges. The complexity of the framework, the resource-intensive nature of its processes, and the need for customization to fit local contexts are significant hurdles that must be overcome. These challenges necessitate a careful and well-planned approach to TOGAF implementation. Samarinda City needs to invest in training and capacity building, engage stakeholders effectively, and adopt an incremental approach to implementation to mitigate these challenges.

Furthermore, continuous monitoring and iterative improvements are crucial to ensuring that the TOGAF framework remains relevant and effective in addressing the evolving needs of the governance body. By regularly reviewing and updating the architecture, Samarinda City can ensure that it adapts to changing circumstances and continues to deliver value over time.

In conclusion, while the journey to implement TOGAF in City may be challenging, the potential benefits make it a worthwhile endeavor. By leveraging the structured approach and best practices offered by TOGAF, Samarinda City can achieve a more aligned, efficient, and responsive governance framework. This, in turn, can lead to better management of resources, improved service delivery, and ultimately, enhanced quality of life for the residents of Samarinda City. The insights gained from this literature review provide a solid foundation for further research and practical application of TOGAF in local governance contexts, paving the way for more effective and sustainable governance solutions.

References

[1] Ahlemann, F., Stettiner, E., Messerschmidt, M., & Legner, C. (2012). Strategic Enterprise Architecture Management: Challenges, Best Practices, and Future Developments. Springer.
[2] Bernard, S. A. (2012). An Introduction to Enterprise Architecture. AuthorHouse.
[3] Foorthuis, R., Hofman, G., van Steenbergen, M., Brinkkemper, S., & Bos, R. (2010). On Course, but Not There Yet: Enterprise Architecture Conformance and Benefits in Systems Development. Journal of Systems and Software, 83(2), 223-234.
[4] Ross, J. W., Weill, P., & Robertson, D. C. (2006). Enterprise Architecture as Strategy: Creating a Foundation for Business Execution. Harvard Business School Press.
[5] Sessions, R. (2007). A Comparison of the Top Four Enterprise-Architecture Methodologies. Microsoft Corporation.
[6] Tamm, T., Seddon, P. B., Shanks, G., & Reynolds, P. (2011). How Does Enterprise Architecture Add Value to Organisations? Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 28(1), 141-168.
[7] The Open Group. (2018). TOGAF® Version 9.2. The Open Group.
[8] Urbaczewski, L., & Mrdalj, S. (2006). A Comparison of Enterprise Architecture Frameworks. Issues in Information Systems, 7(2), 18-23.
[9] Winter, R., & Schelp, J. (2008). Enterprise Architecture Governance: The Need for a Business-to-IT Approach. In Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.
[10] Ross, J. W., Weill, P., & Robertson, D. C. (2006). Enterprise Architecture as Strategy: Creating a Foundation for Business Execution. Harvard Business School Press.
[11] Sessions, R. (2007). A Comparison of the Top Four Enterprise-Architecture Methodologies. Microsoft Corporation.
[12] Tamm, T., Seddon, P. B., Shanks, G., & Reynolds, P. (2011). How Does Enterprise Architecture Add Value to Organisations? Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 28(1), 141-168.
[13] The Open Group. (2018). TOGAF® Version 9.2. The Open Group.
[14] Urbaczewski, L., & Mrdalj, S. (2006). A Comparison of Enterprise Architecture Frameworks. Issues in Information Systems, 7(2), 18-23.
[15] Winter, R., & Schelp, J. (2008). Enterprise Architecture Governance: The Need for a Business-to-IT Approach. In Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139

[16] Saha, P. (2007). Handbook of Enterprise Systems Architecture in Practice. Information Science Reference.
[17] Op't Land, M., Proper, E., Waage, M., Cloo, J., & Steghuis, C. (2009). Enterprise Architecture: Creating Value by Informed Governance. Springer.
[18] Greefhorst, D., & Proper, E. (2011). Architecture Principles: The Cornerstones of Enterprise Architecture. Springer.
[19] Schekkerman, J. (2005). The Economic Benefits of Enterprise Architecture. Trafford Publishing.
[20] Weiss, D., & Lang, G. (2012). Enterprise Architecture and New Generation Information Systems. CRC Press.
[21] Dang, D. D., & Pekkola, S. (2017). Systematic Literature Review on Enterprise Architecture in the Public Sector. Electronic Journal of e-Government, 15(2), 77-154.
[22] Janssen, M., & Kuk, G. (2016). The Challenges and Limits of Big Data Algorithms in Technocratic Governance. Government Information Quarterly, 33(3), 371-377.
[23] Kotusev, S. (2017). Theoretical Foundations of Enterprise Architecture: A Critical Review. Journal of Enterprise Architecture, 13(1), 11-27.
[24] Niemi, E., & Pekkola, S. (2017). Enterprise Architecture Benefit Realization: Review of the Models and a Case Study of a Public Organization. MIS Quarterly Executive, 16(1), 45-66.
[25] Haki, K., & Legner, C. (2013). Enterprise Architecture Principles in Research and Practice: Insights from an Exploratory Analysis. Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Information Systems.
[26] University Science, 1989.

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology
ISSN: 2576-8484
Vol. 8, No. 6: 5161-5168, 2024
DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3139