Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology ISSN: 2576-8484 Vol. 9, No. 2, 405-412 2025 Publisher: Learning Gate DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v9i2.4494 © 2025 by the authors; licensee Learning Gate

Safeguarding spouses' personal non-property rights in European Court of Human Rights jurisprudence

DVolodymyr Vatras^{1*}, DOleh Oksaniuk², DSerhii Sabluk³, DRoman Havrik⁴, DZhanna Vasylieva-Shalamova⁵

¹Department of Civil Law and Process, Leonid Yuzkov Khmelnytskyi University of Management and Law, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine; vatrasv@gmail.com (V.V.)

²Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine; olegoleg028@gmail.com (O.O.)

³Vinnytskyi Citi Court of Vinnytsia Oblast, Vinnytsia, Ukraine; Sablukserg@icloud.com (S.S.)

*Department of Constitutional, Administrative and Financial Law, Faculty of Law, Leonid Yuzkov Khmelnytskyi University of Management and Law, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine; gavrik.roman@gmail.com (R.H.)

⁵Department of Civil Procedure, Educational and Scientific Institute of Law, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine; zannet-v@ukr.net (Z.V.S.).

Abstract: The protection of personal non-property rights is important for the state because it demonstrates the ability to protect the rights and interests of individuals. The research aims to study the peculiarities of the protection of the non-property rights of spouses based on the guarantee of their inalienable rights, such as the right to parenthood, personal development, prohibition of discrimination, and respect for honor and dignity. The relevance of this topic is connected with the need to protect these rights in the international human rights body - the ECHR. The study uses methods of analysis and synthesis of scientific approaches to the protection of non-property rights of spouses, as well as consideration of case law from the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR). The legislation of different countries and the peculiarities of court proceedings are analyzed. The main conclusions of the study show that the protection of non-property rights of spouses plays a key role in family law. The ECtHR, when considering cases, is guided by the Convention, which guarantees respect for private and family life. The European Court recognizes human rights as the supreme value, which is confirmed by its decisions in favor of the protection of non-property rights. Thus, the protection of non-property rights of spouses is an important category of family law that requires careful scrutiny and immediate resolution. The ECHR recommendations aimed at improving the legal framework and ensuring transparency are of great importance for Ukraine's integration into international legal standards and the protection of citizens' rights.

Keywords: Spouses, Marriage, Personal non-property rights, ECHR, Ways to protect family rights.

1. Introduction

Marriage is the union of a man and a woman to create a family. The entry into marriage is the basis for the emergence of property and personal non-property rights and obligations between spouses. The property rights of spouses arise from the joint use of jointly owned assets. Personal non-property rights of spouses are defined as relations regulated by family law regarding personal non-property benefits and interests of married persons. It is worth noting that the personal non-property rights of spouses are a relatively specific concept that requires a detailed analysis.

2. Literature Review

The issue of protecting the personal non-property rights of spouses in ECHR case law has not received enough attention. Few studies have comprehensively and thoroughly covered this issue in modern legal doctrine. The current integration changes taking place in Ukraine necessitate the study of this issue. Linyk Ye. P. studies the personal non-property rights of persons living as a family without marriage registration [1]. The author compares the features of such a union with a registered marriage and determines which rights can be attributed to the personal non-property rights of spouses. He also points out the problems of recognizing the personal non-property rights of spouses in a registered and unregistered marriage. In a joint study by Y. Yurkevich and A. Dutko, marriage and family relations researchers define the theoretical and legal issues of personal non-property rights of all persons ensuring their social existence. They also highlight the content, principles, and guarantees of such rights [2].

When studying the issue of protecting the personal non-property rights of spouses in the ECHR case law, it is worth pointing out the study by Logvinova [3]. The author reveals the peculiarities of implementing the ECHR to protect family rights and interests in the context of law enforcement practice.

This study aims to describe the means of protecting the non-property rights of spouses in the ECHR practice.

3. Methods

The research methods are based on the integrated application of various methods of scientific knowledge. The historical and legal method reveals the peculiarities of the formation and development of legislation regulating marriage and family relations in Ukrainian and international practice. It shows the historical and cultural aspects that have significantly influenced the formation of legal norms. The method of comparative analysis makes it possible to clarify the peculiarities of legal regulation of personal non-property rights of spouses and the ways of their protection in international legal doctrine. The methods described above help to determine the content and peculiarities of protecting spouses' non-property rights in the ECHR case law.

4. Results

In Ukrainian legislation, personal non-property rights of spouses are regulated norms of family law relations arising between spouses regarding personal non-property goods and interests. It is worth noting that the Family Code of Ukraine does not contain grounds for the emergence of rights and obligations of spouses between an unregistered union of a man and a woman. The absence of such grounds in domestic legislation is not a reason for depriving such individuals of personal non-property rights established by the Constitution [1].

Kroitora and Yevko [4] correctly noted, spiritual and non-material interests are the basis of personal non-property rights and obligations [4]. This nuance is primarily reflected in the relationship between husband and wife. Meanwhile, in marriage, the personal non-property rights of spouses are determined by the specificity of family interests based on established moral values. Both elements complement the content of the non-property rights of spouses and manifest themselves in their interaction and dispute resolution.

Following Article 32 of the Constitution of Ukraine, no one can interfere in personal and family life except in cases established by the Ukraïna [5]. According to Part 2 of Article 26 of the Civil Code of Ukraine, individuals have all personal non-property rights established by the Constitution of Ukraine and other regulatory legal acts [6].

The need to study the features of protecting non-property rights is associated with increased violations of personal integrity and psychological and moral needs related to non-material goods. Protecting the personal non-property rights of individuals forms a mandatory objective condition for

the development of society as a whole, which is explained by the importance of a person's rights and goods as a citizen [7].

The interpretation of "family life" depends on whether individuals are registered or lead a typical household in an unregistered marriage. Chevychalova [8] points out that the term "family life" in Article 8 of the Convention is not always understood correctly. When considering a case, the ECHR does not always accurately determine the boundaries of positive and negative obligations arising from Article 8 of the Convention. At the same time, taking into account the specifics of the rights established by this article, when considering a specific case, it is necessary to determine and ensure a fair balance between the interests of a particular person and the interests of society, considering the interests of the state, not just the interests of the individual [8].

If, in Ukraine, the regulation of personal non-property rights of spouses has a civil-family character, then the regulation of personal non-property relations of spouses in EU countries is based on the principle of equality between men and women. Among the main non-property issues regulated by law are determining the place of residence, choosing the spouses' surname, and participating in resolving the legal problems related to marital and family relations.

It is worth noting that EU legislation obliges spouses to decide all critical family and spiritual management issues jointly, requires them to live together, and freely choose each spouse's sphere of employment, occupation, and profession. However, the legislation of different European countries also contains other provisions regarding the personal non-property rights of husbands and wives. Some norms are more declarative, while others are characterized by family legal obligations, compliance with which is reinforced by certain sanctions or obliges the spouses to take specific actions. For example, some European law obligates spouses to have mutual fidelity. Failure to comply with this norm may be a reason for initiating divorce by the party who learned about the infidelity.

ECHR decisions are aimed at considering cases within its competence and taking measures to eliminate violations committed by local courts while considering cases and issuing decisions. The consideration of issues related to protecting the personal non-property rights of spouses constitutes a separate category of family cases. However, these issues should not be purely considered family matters since, in this case, human personal rights are involved, and family relations do not influence them. The cases reviewed by the ECHR in the study contain examples of such relations. For example, when a husband believes that his parental rights are violated because the woman wants to have an abortion without his consent. In this case, it is necessary to clarify all the available circumstances and determine whether the pregnancy threatens the future mother's life and health. Considering this, the issue of protecting personal non-property rights should be approached comprehensively, and the evidence collected during the court proceedings by local courts should be carefully studied.

Dutko and Yurkevich [2] classify personal non-property rights as those rights that ensure the natural existence of a person and are prioritized among others. These comprehensive norms contain civil, family, labour, and medical law elements. Additionally, the institute of personal non-property rights contains norms that ensure the social existence of the individual, distinguish them from others, individualize them, and provide social value and significance in society. The characteristic features of personal non-property rights are their non-material content associated with the right of intellectual property [2]. When examining the personal non-property rights of spouses, it is worth noting that this institute has a particular specificity, which is determined in conjunction with the norms of family, civil, and some other branches of law. Ukraine's approximation to the EU reveals the state's civilization level, where human rights and interests are valued most.

According to the Statute of the Council of Europe, each member must recognize the principle of the rule of law and the principle according to which all persons under its jurisdiction enjoy the fundamental rights and freedoms of the individual [9]. Since marriage is a union of a man and a woman - two self-sufficient and individual persons, each of them has inherent non-material values and rights that determine the extent of their possible behaviour in the course of life, in social and public life, the existence of which is ensured by an exhaustive list of complex means in case of violations.

Since personal non-property rights are inherent to every person, belong to them, and are inseparable and indivisible, the Family Code enshrines the following:

- Personal non-property rights and duties of spouses;
- Personal non-property rights and responsibilities of parents and children;
- Personal non-property rights and duties of other family members.

Considering the aforementioned, the personal non-property rights of spouses should be regarded in the context of their relationship with the personal non-property rights of the individual as a whole, indivisible. Each type of personal non-property rights defined by the legislation affects the spiritual and social development of the spouses. Thus, the right to motherhood and fatherhood is one of spouses' inherent personal non-property rights. It is the natural right of a person to become a parent of a child and to have rights and obligations regarding them. This right is under special protection, including judicial protection.

At present, the most effective way to protect human rights and freedoms is to appeal to the ECHR. When appealing to the ECHR, one should proceed from the guarantee provided by Article 8 of the Convention on Respect for Private and Family Life [10]. According to R. Havrik's statement, the ECHR considers various cases of violations arising between spouses during their cohabitation, including those who are officially married and those who cohabit but are not registered according to the law [11]. Some researchers define the practice of the ECHR consideration to include issues of protecting children's rights, including the right to education, human rights and fundamental freedoms, freedom of conscience, freedom of expression, cruel treatment of children, and protection against discrimination [12].

Prominent legal researcher L. V. Logvinova points out another type of family relations falling within the competence of the ECHR. These relations are based on registered marriage, equality of spouses, and parental rights/maternal rights [3]. It is worth noting that international law protects the rights and interests of the child regardless of whether they are born within or outside of marriage. Until the 1970s, the birth of a child outside of marriage was considered a violation, and the rights of such a child were discriminated against.

For example, in the case "Marx v. Belgium", a woman gave birth to a child outside of marriage [13]. Under Belgian law, maternity is automatically registered from the moment of the child's birth. Still, special recognition was required for a child born out of wedlock – a declarative act (which formally recognized the woman as the child's mother), which was submitted to the civil status registration authority. To acknowledge a woman as the mother of a child, the state is obliged to initiate an adoption procedure.

Seeking protection for her violated rights, the applicant argued that such a system of child rights registration and recognition of maternity significantly violates her rights as defined by Article 8 and Article 14 of the Convention. After examining the case's circumstances, the ECHR established that the state must establish rules so that citizens can lead everyday family lives. In the opinion of the Court, the state must establish guarantees that would facilitate the integration of the child into the family from birth. At the same time, the state's goal is to create appropriate conditions for the normal development of family relationships, including for unmarried women. Therefore, the state should not allow any discrimination based on birth. This is precisely what Articles 8 and 14 of the Convention establish [10].

Another significant non-property personal right is the right to parenthood of same-sex partners. The case "X, Y, Z v. United Kingdom" raises socially essential issues such as the parental rights of transsexuals and homosexuals. While in a long-term stable relationship, one woman gave birth to a child through artificial insemination from a sperm donor. After carrying and giving birth to the child, the woman applied for its registration. However, the state authority refused her, as according to the legislation's norms, only the child's biological father can be registered in the "father" column. After examining the case's circumstances, the ECHR delivered the following verdict: In such a case, the state must act carefully to avoid harming the child's rights or provoking actions with irreversible

consequences. The fact that according to the law, only the biological father of the child can be recognized as the father and cannot be a person of the female gender does not exclude the possibility of a woman marrying a man. According to the Court's decision, Article 8 of the Convention does not obligate the state to officially recognize a biological person who does not have the status of "biological father" as the child's father. The fact that people of the same sex cannot be married does not constitute a violation of Article 8 of the Convention [14].

The case "Boso v. Italy" (2000) deserves sufficient attention where a woman decided to terminate her pregnancy despite her husband's objections. The applicant, the husband, appealed to the ECHR and requested that his wife's actions be recognized as unlawful and violating his non-property rights to parenthood. At the same time, the husband was upset by the fact that terminating the pregnancy gives the mother the right to decide whether to have an abortion, disregarding the father's interest in preserving the life of the unborn child. Furthermore, granting the woman the possibility of having an abortion deprives the father of the opportunity to create a family. The court, after examining the circumstances of the case, pointed out that the abortion was carried out per Italian legislation and aimed to protect the woman's health. Interference with rights under Article 8 of the Convention is justified because, in this case, it concerns the life and health of another person [15].

Therefore, the ECHR decisions regarding protecting the personal non-property rights of couples to motherhood and parenthood indicate that all possible circumstances relevant to the decision-making process should be considered when considering this issue. However, it is essential to consider not superficial judgments but factual evidence.

Domestic researcher Plyushko D. S., studying the development of legislation on the dissolution of marriage in Ukraine and certain EU countries, examines specific issues of divorce and the protection of their non-property rights. The author emphasizes that divergences between different legal systems of certain states are pretty characteristic of family law and legislation. Considering this, regulating marital and family relations significantly affects different countries' national, religious, and socio-cultural peculiarities and traditions worldwide. Since, according to ECHR practice, the priority of protection is the rights and freedoms of individuals, Article 8 of the Convention regulates relations between the state and the citizen, and Article 12 of the Convention governs relations arising between a woman and a man by guaranteeing them the right to marry, creating a family following the family law of the country where such legal relations are carried out [16].

The right to change one's surname is a personal non-property right of the couple, the protection of which is ensured by the ECHR. Therefore, the case "Tekeli v. Turkey" was brought on the demand of the applicant, who took her husband's surname upon marriage [17]. Due to her professional activity, the applicant began to use her maiden name before her husband's surname. However, double surnames were prohibited on official documents. By turning to the court to protect her violated rights, the applicant asked the local court to return her maiden name so that she could continue her professional activity. However, the local court rejected the claim, citing that according to the civil norms of Turkey, married women are prohibited from using their maiden names. Instead, they are obliged to use the marital surname of the husband. Turning to the ECHR, the applicant emphasized that according to Article 14 of the Convention and Article 8 of the Convention, discrimination was applied to her, as married men, unlike women, are allowed to use their surnames without restriction by legislation or moral norms. After considering the arguments, the ECHR noted that such actions regarding the maiden surname constitute discriminatory treatment based on sex. Based on the evidence and arguments, the Court reasoned, recognizing a violation of Articles 14 and 8 of the Convention, allowing the woman to take her maiden name while married to her husband.

The right to personal inviolability, as one of the types of non-property rights of spouses, defines respectful treatment towards each spouse and respect for their honour and dignity. However, questions have arisen recently related to the application of domestic violence, the resolution of which must be immediate and irreversible. The issue of domestic violence is a subject of discussion at the international level since it is inherent in various European countries. For instance, in the case "Levchuk v. Ukraine" regarding the application of domestic violence, it was determined that one of the spouses may apply to the ECHR regarding the violated non-property right, even if they are not actually married but are raising familiar children together [18].

Regarding this matter, Z. V. Romovska notes that since the marital union of a woman and a man exists without official state registration, it is not considered a marriage [19]. However, in some cases (especially after separation when there is no marriage), the state should accommodate the woman and the man who live together without marriage by endowing them with certain rights and obligations typical of spouses. These rights include the right to alimony, compensation for the loss of a breadwinner, inheritance rights, and others. O. I. Safonchyk adds that there are a few differences between a formalized and non-formalized marriage [20].

Continuing the discussion in the case "Levchuk v. Ukraine," it is worth noting that the local court dismissed the applicant's claim regarding the systematic application of violence by her former husband [18]. Failing to find support in domestic courts, the applicant turned to the ECHR to establish the fact of violence and seek compensation for moral damages. After examining the circumstances of the case, the ECHR recognized the violation of the applicant's right to respect for private life by the state of Ukraine, indicating that national authorities should have investigated the severity of the situation and responded appropriately. As a result, the ECHR issued a reasoned decision awarding moral damages for 4500 euros and 1150 euros in court costs. Therefore, concerning the discussed decision, regardless of whether the couple is married, their non-property rights and interests must be protected from interference. In this case, the ECHR is a guarantor of safeguarding such rights.

A. A. Yanchuk notes that Ukrainian legislation is guided by international legal norms ratified by the state in resolving family disputes. Over the past thirty years, approaches to applying legislation regarding the consideration and resolution of family disputes have changed repeatedly. The reasons for such changes primarily lie in the results of ECHR decisions, including those regarding the consideration of issues related to the protection of non-property rights of spouses [21].

5. Discussion

According to the classical liberal interpretation, the state must refrain from interfering in private life. To some extent, article 8 of the Convention guarantees freedom from any state intervention in personal life. According to V.I. Truba, the Human Rights Convention provides an effective mechanism for democracy and the rule of law. To support these principles, it is essential for each state to act in the interests of the state, not violating them and not discrediting them, guided by ECHR decisions in addressing specific issues [22].

Exploring the characteristics of personal non-property rights and obligations of spouses, it is worth noting that most of them operate following the principles of family construction. It is worth mentioning that subjective rights are inseparably linked with legal duty, so there is no right without duty and vice versa. Non-property duties are marked by the obligation of spouses to treat each other with respect and not to hinder the exercise of personal non-property rights. Among these duties are the right to motherhood, fatherhood, respect for one's individuality, the right to personal development, and so on.

The institution of marriage regulates all issues related to marital and family relations, including the birth and upbringing of children. When exercising personal non-property rights, one must refrain from actions that may harm the other spouse [23].

According to O.O. Punda, personal non-property relationships arising from exercising personal non-property rights aim to meet personal needs and constitute the content of personal non-property goods [24].

When considering specific cases, the ECHR considers all the circumstances established during the proceedings in local courts. When considering family cases in Ukraine, national courts rely on domestic legislation, superficially acquainting themselves with newly adopted ECHR decisions. Considering the absence of clear rules and recommendations regarding the application of ECHR practice in domestic

legal proceedings, domestic courts incorrectly interpret its content, hence the need to seek protection of violated rights not in their own country but in the ECHR [25].

6. Conclusions

The right to protect the personal non-property rights of spouses is guaranteed not only at the national level but also at the international level. The European Court of Human Rights considers all relevant circumstances when considering cases, and issues reasoned decisions guided by the Convention. Since the main principle of the ECHR is the restoration of the violated right, this international organization is top-rated. Moreover, cases of protecting the personal non-property rights of spouses are precedents in local courts. When considering a similar case, Ukrainian courts may use the ECHR judgment as a basis for similar cases in the future.

Transparency:

The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

Copyright:

© 2025 by the authors. This open-access article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

References

- [1] E. Linyk, "Personal non-property rights of persons living in the same family without marriage and spouse: A comparative legal aspect," Law Series, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 1-5, 2022. https://doi.org/10.24144/2307-3322.2022.72.24
- Y. M. Dutko and A. O. Yurkevich, Personal non-property rights of an individual. Lviv: Lviv State University of Internal $\lceil 2 \rceil$ Affairs, 2021.
- M. Logvinova, "Activities of the European court of human rights in the field of protection of family rights and [3] interests protected by law in the context of law enforcement practice of Ukraine," Retrieved: http://surl.li/qolmq 2021.
- V. A. Kroitora and V. Y. Yevko, Family law. Kharkiv: National University of Internal Affairs, 2016.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ Ukraïna, Constitution of Ukraine. Ukrainian Legal Foundation, 1996.
- Civil Code of Ukraine, Current legislation with amendments and additions. Kyiv: A.V. Palivoda, 2011.
- [7] O. Bukhanevich, "Civil law protection of personal non-property rights that ensure the privacy of an individual," Irpin Legal Journal: Scientific Journal, no. 2, pp. 1-10, 2019. http://surl.li/qolni
- [8] Z. Chevychalova, "Understanding the term "family life" in the context of Art. 8 of the Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms," Theory and Practice of Jurisprudence, no. 2, p. 16, 2015. http://surl.li/qohmf
- P. B. Potter, "The Statute of the Council of Europe," American Journal of International Law, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 501-503, [9] 1949.
- European Convention on Human Rights, "European convention on human rights," [10] Retrieved: https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_004#Text 1950.
- R. Havrik, "On the issue of the specifics of the protection of family rights of persons who are in marriage or other [11] family unions in the practice of the European court of human rights," Series: Law, no. 63, pp. 1-5, 2021. https://doi.org//10.24144/2307-3322.2021.63.21
- [12] L. Krasytska, "Protection of family rights of the child by the European court of human rights," Bulletin of the Donetsk National University. Economy and Law Series, no. 2, pp. 263-267, 2011.
- European Court of Human Rights, "Marx v. Belgium," Retrieved: https://ips.ligazakon.net/document/ES005089. [13] TAccessed 1979.
- European Court of Human Rights, "X, Y, Z v. United Kingdom," Retrieved: http://surl.li/qohiy 1997. [14]
- The Right, "The right to parenthood in the decisions of the European court of human rights," Retrieved: [15] http://legalweekly.com.ua/index.php?id=16061&show=news&newsid=122042 2013.
- D. S. Plyushko, "Development of legislation on the institution of termination of marriage in Ukraine and certain EU [16] countries," Journal of European Studies, vol. 6, pp. 1-5, 2022. https://doi.org/10.32782/chern.v6.2022.5
- European Court of Human Rights, "Tekeli v. Turkey," Retrieved: http://surl.li/qohjk 2004. [17]

Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology ISSN: 2576-8484 Vol. 9, No. 2: 405-412, 2025 DOI: 10.55214/25768484.v9i2.4494 © 2025 by the authors; licensee Learning Gate

- [18] European Court of Human Rights, "Levchuk v. Ukraine, Judgment of 3 September 2020, (Final on 3 December 2020)," Retrieved: https://ekmair.ukma.edu.ua/items/7c1fc3d7-3d8c-414f-a1ea-56586957fe5f 2020.
- [19] Z. V. Romovska, Family code of Ukraine: Scientific and practical commentary. Kyiv, 2003.
- [20] O. I. Safonchyk, "Marital legal relations in Ukraine, Odesa," 2018.
- [21] A. Yanchuk, "Practice of the European court of human rights as a source of law in resolving family disputes," Juris Europensis Scientia, no. 4, pp. 23-27, 2023. https://doi.org/10.32782/chern.v4.2023.5
- [22] V. Truba, "The influence of the practice of the ECtHR on the development of the Family Legislation of Ukraine, Tenth legal disputes in memory of E. V. Vasylkovsky," Retrieved: http://dspace.onu.edu.ua:8080/bitstream/123456789/31145/1/32-39.pdf 2021.
- [23] O. Dutko, *The current state of legal regulation of personal non-property rights and obligations of spouses.* Visnyk National University Lvivska politekhnika. https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/suchasniy-stan-pravogogo-regulyuvannya-osobistih-nemaynovih-prav-ta-obov-yazkiv-podruzhzhya-1/viewer, 2019.
- [24] O. O. Punda, "Administrative and legal regulation of ensuring the exercise of personal non-property rights, Irpin, Ukraine," 2018.
- [25] T. V. Pavlyukovets, "The role and place of ECtHR decisions in the Ukrainian judicial system, Legal Newspaper Online," vol. 22, no. 728, 2022.