Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology

ISSN: 2576-8484 Vol. 9, No. 8, 505-521 2025 Publisher: Learning Gate DOI: 10.55214/2576-8484.v9i8.9340 © 2025 by the author; licensee Learning Gate

The effectiveness of crisis communication strategies in public relations: A comparative study of traditional and digital approaches

Saud Binlibdah^{1*}

¹College of Humanities and Social Sciences Mass Communication Department King Saud University, Riyadh Saudia Arabia; bhaylan@ksu.edu.sa (S.B.).

Abstract: This research examines the effectiveness of traditional and digital communication strategies in crisis management, with a particular emphasis on integrating both approaches to enhance organizational crisis responses. It explores key theoretical frameworks for understanding crises and communication strategies, addressing a critical knowledge gap in the existing literature. Previous studies have primarily examined traditional and digital strategies in isolation, lacking a holistic perspective on their integration. Using a mixed-methods approach, this study incorporates quantitative data from surveys of 300 participants and qualitative insights from interviews with 30 experts. The findings indicate that traditional tools, such as press releases and media conferences, enhance credibility and message consistency but are limited in flexibility and response speed. Conversely, digital tools, including social media platforms, enable rapid responses and broad audience reach but face challenges like rumor proliferation and content management difficulties. The study proposes an integrative model that combines the reliability of traditional tools with the speed and interactivity of digital platforms. This model is tailored to the specific nature of crises and the characteristics of target audiences. Additionally, practical recommendations address technical challenges, such as utilizing artificial intelligence for data analysis and rumor management, and improving stakeholder coordination through centralized, technology-driven platforms. This research bridges a significant knowledge gap by offering a comparative analysis, real-world case studies, and strategic recommendations. It contributes both scientifically and practically to the field of crisis management, advancing the effectiveness of corporate communication strategies and laying the foundation for developing comprehensive, adaptive solutions to modern challenges.

Keywords: Crisis communication, Digital strategies, Integration model, Mixed-methods, Public relations, Traditional strategies.

1. Introduction

Crisis communication strategies are fundamental pillars of public relations, serving as essential tools for organizations to manage emergencies that threaten their reputation and sustainability. Significant developments in crisis dynamics and response methodologies have emerged in recent decades due to rapid technological advancements and social transformations. As societies increasingly depend on digital tools, organizations face new challenges in crisis management, necessitating reevaluating traditional strategies and exploring the opportunities and dimensions offered by digital approaches [17].

Traditionally, organizations have relied on communication tools such as press releases and media conferences to convey precise, structured messages to their target audiences. These methods are characterized by their credibility and consistency but often fail to address contemporary crises that demand speed and adaptability to complex information environments. In contrast, digital tools, such as social media platforms, provide flexible and rapid solutions, enabling organizations to reach vast audiences in record time while facilitating immediate interaction and managing misinformation [2].

However, these tools also pose distinct challenges, including the rapid dissemination of harmful content and the complexities of managing diverse platforms [3].

Research highlights that traditional and digital communication strategies have unique strengths and limitations, with their effectiveness mainly depending on the nature of the crisis and the characteristics of the target audience. For instance, traditional tools tend to be more reliable among older demographics, while digital tools are particularly impactful for younger, tech-savvy audiences, especially during crises requiring swift responses [4]. Nevertheless, questions persist regarding the optimal methods for integrating these approaches to enhance the effectiveness of crisis communication.

This research investigates the effectiveness of crisis communication strategies by comparing traditional and digital approaches and analyzing methods to balance their strengths for improved organizational crisis response. By incorporating real-world examples and case studies, the study employs quantitative and qualitative analyses to provide actionable insights for developing comprehensive and effective communication strategies. Ultimately, this research aims to address the existing gap in the literature and equip practitioners with practical models to support their crisis management efforts in an increasingly complex and digitalized world.

2. Research Importance

Crisis management is one of the most critical challenges confronting organizations in the modern era, where the ability to respond effectively to crises has become a cornerstone of organizational success and sustainability. With the ongoing technological and social transformations, comprehensive communication strategies have become imperative to address the growing complexity of crises, evolving audience expectations, and the diverse range of communication channels [1, 5]. This research addresses a critical gap in the current literature, as the comparative analysis of traditional and digital communication strategies in crisis management remains underexplored.

2.1. Key Aspects of Research Significance

Bridging the Research Gap Previous studies have focused on either traditional or digital approaches in isolation, often neglecting an integrated perspective combining both methodologies [2, 6]. This research offers a comprehensive comparative analysis, identifying the strengths and weaknesses of each approach while exploring opportunities for integration, particularly in contexts such as public health and geopolitical crises [7].

Impact of Digital Transformation on Communication In a digital-centric information ecosystem, traditional communication strategies often face limitations. This research examines how digital tools, such as social media platforms and live streaming technologies, can enhance crisis response capabilities compared to conventional methodologies [4, 8]. For instance, Dhar and Bose [5] demonstrated the effectiveness of Twitter as a communication tool for addressing stakeholder concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Development of Adaptive and Comprehensive Strategies Modern crisis management requires flexible and adaptive communication strategies that blend traditional methods' reliability with digital tools' responsiveness [3, 7]. Recent studies emphasize the importance of integrated frameworks that dynamically adapt to diverse crises, including financial instability and reputation crises [6].

Practical Implementation Focus Ineffective crisis communication can lead to significant reputational damage and sustainability risks for organizations [5, 9]. This research provides evidence-based recommendations derived from quantitative and qualitative analyses to enhance the effectiveness of organizational crisis management and address complex challenges like misinformation and audience segmentation [8].

Cultural and Geographic Considerations Recognizing the diversity of global audiences, this research emphasizes customizing communication strategies to address cultural and geographical differences [4]. Studies on crisis communication in multicultural settings highlight the importance of localized strategies for ensuring inclusivity and effectiveness [6]. Furthermore, research by Gurkov and Dahms

[7] on multinational corporations underscores the role of tailored approaches in managing geopolitical crises.

3. Research Contributions

3.1. At the Academic Level

Enriches scholarly literature by offering an integrated analysis of traditional and digital communication strategies.

Validates findings through robust quantitative and qualitative methodologies [5, 8].

3.2. At the Practical Level

Develops implementation models for public relations practitioners, integrating traditional and digital methodologies.

Enhances communication effectiveness through evidence-based frameworks.

3.3. At the Decision-Making Level

Provides strategic insights to enable context-appropriate crisis response strategies.

Offers actionable implementation guidelines for organizational decision-makers [6, 7].

4. Research Questions

4.1. Effectiveness of Traditional Strategies

- How effective are traditional communication strategies, such as press releases and media conferences, in achieving crisis management objectives like message delivery, clarity, and consistency?
- How do traditional tools build trust and credibility among stakeholders compared to modern challenges?

4.2. Digital Strategy Efficiency

- To what extent do digital tools, such as social media and live streaming, improve organizational response times and facilitate accurate, rapid information exchange during crises?
- What are the primary obstacles organizations face when implementing digital strategies, including challenges in real-time interaction management and misinformation control?

4.3. Integration of Traditional and Digital Approaches

- How can traditional and digital strategies be integrated to address complex, multidimensional
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of adopting a hybrid approach combining traditional communication techniques and digital tools?

4.4. Impact of Influencing Factors

- What role does crisis type (e.g., financial, health, or media crises) play in determining the effectiveness of traditional and digital communication tools?
- How do cultural and demographic variables, such as age and geographic differences, influence audience responses to traditional and digital strategies?

4.5. Performance Enhancement Strategies

- How can organizations optimize traditional and digital strategies to overcome challenges encountered during crises?
- What practical steps can be taken to effectively integrate traditional and digital tools for better crisis management outcomes?

4.6. Development of an Integration Model

- What are the key pillars of an integrated crisis communication management model that combines the flexibility of digital tools with the reliability of traditional methods?
- How can this model be adapted to address sector-specific challenges across different industries?

4.7. Framework for Practical Recommendations

- What practical recommendations can be drawn from comparing traditional and digital tools to guide organizations in enhancing their crisis management efficiency?
- How can these recommendations contribute to developing flexible and comprehensive strategies for future crisis communication?

5. Theoretical Framework

Organizations today face increasingly complex crises, highlighting the need for effective communication strategies that enable swift and organized responses. A comprehensive understanding of traditional and digital communication dimensions and their integration is essential for constructing adaptable models that address crises with precision and flexibility [1, 3, 5, 6].

5.1. Crisis Definition and Conceptualization

A crisis is characterized as an unexpected event or situation that poses a significant threat to the stability or reputation of an organization, necessitating an immediate, well-coordinated response to reduce negative impacts [1]. Recent research by Sun and Li [6] extends this definition by emphasizing the role of public perception in public health crises, highlighting how digital platforms can influence trust and mitigate reputational damage. Crises can manifest in various forms, including operational disruptions affecting daily functions, financial instability threatening economic health, public health emergencies impacting community or employee well-being, and media-related crises that challenge an organization's reputation under public scrutiny [10-13].

5.2. Crisis Communication Framework

The crisis communication framework is designed to facilitate a systematic process for delivering precise and accurate information to specific audiences during times of crisis. The primary objectives include reducing uncertainty, fostering trust and stability, and preserving the organization's reputation [1]. A study by Sarnak [8] on crisis communication during the COVID-19 pandemic emphasizes how trust in leadership significantly affects public acceptance of crisis response measures, validating the importance of consistent and transparent communication frameworks. The framework's effectiveness depends on the speed of information dissemination, management of public interactions, and maintenance of organizational credibility throughout the crisis [3, 10].

5.3. Traditional Communication Strategies

Traditional communication strategies are based on established tools like press releases, media conferences, and official statements emphasizing consistency and credibility. These methods effectively build trust and ensure uniformity in formal messaging, yet they often face limitations regarding response speed and adaptability to rapidly changing crises [11, 13]. According to Gurkov and Dahms [7] organizations have adapted traditional strategies by incorporating more context-specific approaches to address geopolitical disruptions like the Russia-Ukraine conflict. This finding underscores the continuing relevance of conventional tools in maintaining organizational credibility in high-stakes environments.

5.4. Digital Communication Strategies

Digital communication strategies have significantly transformed crisis management by introducing faster and more adaptable means of reaching a broad audience. These strategies utilize social media platforms, live streaming, and data analytics to enable rapid responses, extensive audience engagement, and the potential for message customization [3, 11]. Recent research by Dhar and Bose [5] highlights the effectiveness of using social media platforms like Twitter during the COVID-19 pandemic, showing how digital tools facilitate real-time interaction while managing stakeholder expectations. However, challenges such as misinformation and content control across multiple platforms remain critical [10].

5.5. Integration of Traditional and Digital Tools

Integrating traditional and digital communication tools offers a balanced and comprehensive approach to crisis response. This hybrid strategy combines the reliability and credibility of conventional methods with the speed and adaptability of digital platforms, thereby enhancing crisis management effectiveness. Research by Sun and Li [6] reveals how hybrid approaches in public health crises amplify positive public perceptions by leveraging the strengths of both traditional and digital strategies. Similarly, Gurkov and Dahms [7] show that multinational organizations successfully integrated these tools to address complex geopolitical crises, ensuring effective stakeholder engagement and response flexibility. The integrated approach is particularly beneficial in managing complex crises requiring a nuanced communication strategy [11, 13].

5.6. Factors Affecting Crisis Communication Effectiveness

Several factors significantly influence the success of crisis communication strategies. The nature of the crisis itself, whether a health emergency, a financial downturn, or a reputation crisis, dictates the required speed and type of response [10, 13]. For example, health crises, as demonstrated in Sarnak [8] demand transparency and rapid information dissemination to build public trust. Demographic and cultural differences also play a critical role in shaping how messages are received and interpreted across various regions and audience groups [3]. Additionally, technological infrastructure and digital literacy among stakeholders are pivotal in determining how effectively digital communication tools can be deployed [1, 11].

5.7. Theoretical Models in Crisis Communication

Two theoretical models are central to this analysis: the Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) and the Interactive Crisis Communication Model. SCCT, developed by Coombs [1] focuses on identifying different crisis types, assessing organizational responsibility, and selecting suitable response strategies based on crisis history and pre-existing reputation. Research by Dhar and Bose [5] validates SCCT's applicability by showing how organizations can use this model to manage reputational threats during crises like COVID-19. The Interactive Crisis Communication Model emphasizes public engagement through digital interaction, prioritizing real-time responses, stakeholder feedback, and the dynamic adjustment of messages as crises evolve [3, 11].

5.8. Theoretical Framework Applications

Applying these theoretical insights to practical scenarios involves developing strategies that integrate traditional and digital communication tools effectively, providing tailored implementation guidelines, and establishing metrics for performance evaluation. Sun and Li [6] research confirms that enhancing strategies within an integrated framework significantly improves public perceptions during public health crises. Similarly, Gurkov and Dahms [7] emphasize the importance of adaptation in geopolitical crises. This structured approach ensures that organizations are better equipped to confidently navigate complex crises, integrating theoretical foundations with practical solutions [10, 13].

6. Literature Review

This comprehensive review establishes the scientific foundation for understanding traditional and digital communication strategies in crisis management while addressing the knowledge gaps this research aims to fill. Recent studies have increasingly highlighted the critical need for integrating these approaches to create a more unified, effective response mechanism.

Recent literature extensively explores crisis communication strategies, analyzing the impact of traditional tools such as press releases and media conferences alongside digital tools like social media and live streaming. While these studies provide valuable insights into the relative effectiveness of these tools and the challenges organizations face during crises, they often examine these approaches in isolation. This fragmented focus highlights a significant gap in understanding how to integrate traditional and digital strategies for a more comprehensive and effective crisis response [1, 2]. Additionally, recent research emphasizes the evolution of these strategies to address modern challenges. For instance, Dhar and Bose [5] examined Twitter communications during the COVID-19 pandemic, demonstrating how organizations used digital tools to mitigate reputational risks while managing stakeholder reactions effectively.

Crisis communication management has evolved significantly, with a growing emphasis on strategic planning, clear and consistent messaging, and its impact on organizational reputation [4, 9]. Traditional communication tools, including press releases and media conferences, have historically been valued for their high credibility and strong message control capabilities [2]. However, these tools face limitations, such as slower response times and limited interactivity, which make them less effective in addressing fast-evolving crises [3]. Research by Sarnak [8] supports this view, emphasizing that traditional strategies like press releases maintain trust but may struggle to engage audiences in rapidly changing contexts.

In contrast, digital tools have introduced a paradigm shift in crisis communication. Social media platforms, live streaming technologies, and interactive applications enable rapid response, broad audience reach, and real-time interaction opportunities [4]. Despite these advantages, organizations must contend with significant challenges, including misinformation management, content control across diverse platforms, and maintaining message consistency [1, 9]. For instance, research by Sun and Li [6] on public health crises revealed how Chinese media effectively employed digital communication strategies, such as enhancing and diminishing tactics, to shape public perception positively.

Recent studies emphasize the importance of integrating digital tools in crisis communication. For example, a survey of public relations strategies in Kuwait highlighted the need for diversifying and modernizing communication strategies while training human resources to handle crises effectively [14]. Similarly, research on digital public relations in security crises underscored the critical role of electronic communication in establishing dialogue and trust with stakeholders during emergencies [15]. Integrating traditional and digital communication strategies offers a hybrid approach that leverages the strengths of both methods. Research by Gurkov and Dahms [7] demonstrated how multinational enterprises adapted communication strategies, combining traditional and digital techniques, during geopolitical crises such as the Russia-Ukraine conflict. This underscores the need for balanced strategies that combine the reliability and formal tone of conventional tools with the speed and interactivity of digital platforms [2, 3]. Successful integration requires careful planning, stakeholder engagement, and addressing challenges such as resource allocation and technological infrastructure [4].

Different crisis types and audience characteristics further influence the effectiveness of communication strategies. Health crises, for example, demand accurate and transparent messaging with rapid dissemination of vital information to ensure public safety [9]. Financial crises, on the other hand, require strategies focused on maintaining stakeholder confidence and complying with regulatory standards [3]. Media crises often prioritize reputation management and narrative control [1]. Additionally, demographic and cultural factors, such as age, geographic location, and cultural norms, are crucial in shaping audience responses to communication efforts [2, 4]. Emerging trends, such as

integrating artificial intelligence for managing complex communication processes, further highlight the importance of adopting innovative approaches to enhance crisis response [16].

Despite the rapid advancements in crisis communication strategies, existing literature reveals several gaps in understanding the effective integration of traditional and digital approaches. A key deficiency lies in the lack of comprehensive frameworks that combine these two methodologies, leaving organizations without clear guidelines for implementing and measuring the effectiveness of integrated strategies. Additionally, there is limited understanding of how these integrations perform in diverse crisis scenarios, further exacerbating the challenge of crafting adaptable communication models [1, 5].

Another significant research gap pertains to crisis-specific communication strategies. Current studies provide little insight into how different crises, such as financial, health, or reputational, influence communication approaches' effectiveness. Moreover, there is a lack of research addressing the specific needs of different industries and sectors with crisis management strategies [6]. Without this understanding, organizations face difficulties tailoring their communication methods to meet the unique requirements of varying crises.

Cultural and demographic influences represent another critical gap in the literature. There is insufficient research exploring how cultural differences and demographic factors, such as age and geographic location, impact the success of communication strategies. Additionally, cross-cultural comparisons that evaluate how strategies perform across different regions are limited, leaving a significant void in understanding regional variations in communication preferences [7, 8].

Technical challenges also pose barriers to the successful integration of communication strategies. Limited research exists on the infrastructure requirements for integrating traditional and digital tools and overcoming implementation barriers. Furthermore, a notable lack of studies analyzing resource optimization and the technical solutions necessary to streamline integration efforts [5].

6.1. Research Contribution

This study addresses critical gaps in crisis communication research by proposing comprehensive integration models that combine traditional and digital communication tools. It aims to develop practical frameworks to measure strategy effectiveness and provide implementation guidelines applicable across different sectors. Additionally, the research examines cultural and demographic factors influencing the success of communication strategies, incorporating cross-cultural analyses and demographic assessments to account for regional variations [6, 7].

To overcome technical challenges, this study offers solutions for infrastructure requirements, resource optimization, and addressing implementation barriers. The research enhances crisis communication strategies' adaptability, effectiveness, and inclusivity across diverse organizational and cultural contexts by providing actionable insights.

6.2. Research Hypotheses

Based on the identified research gaps and the theoretical framework, the following hypotheses have been developed to guide this study:

6.2.1. Traditional and Digital Tool Effectiveness

- H₁. Traditional communication strategies, such as press conferences and media releases, enhance role clarity and improve team member responsibilities during crises.
- H₂ Digital communication strategies, including social media and live streaming, significantly improve the speed of organizational responses to crises compared to traditional methods.

6.2.2. Integration Impact

H_s: Integrating traditional and digital communication strategies improves organizational performance, particularly in managing complex and multi-stakeholder crises, compared to relying on either approach alone.

 H_* Combining traditional and digital tools enhances team collaboration, facilitates effective interaction, and achieves greater organizational precision during crises.

6.2.3. Demographic and Cultural Factors

- H_{s} The effectiveness of traditional and digital communication strategies is significantly influenced by the type of crisis, such as health, financial, or media-related crises.
- H_o Audience responses to communication strategies vary according to demographic factors (e.g., age, education, geographic location) and cultural differences, underscoring the importance of message customization to maximize impact.

6.2.4. Digital Tool Implementation

- H₇. Challenges associated with using digital tools, such as the spread of misinformation and difficulties in content flow control, diminish their effectiveness in crisis management.
- H_{*} Improving the integration of digital tools with traditional systems reduces operational errors, enhances time efficiency, and ensures greater message accuracy during crises.

6.2.5. Institutional Performance Impact

- H_s Integrating traditional and digital communication strategies positively impacts organizational reputation during crises by delivering more comprehensive and reliable responses.
- H_{10} Employing an integrative communication approach shortens the post-crisis recovery period compared to relying solely on traditional or digital methods.

7. Methodology

7.1. Research Design

This research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies to understand traditional and digital crisis management communication strategies comprehensively. The mixed approach leverages the strengths of both methods: quantitative analysis provides statistical precision in measuring impacts, while qualitative analysis explores contextual factors and complex organizational challenges [17]. By integrating data from surveys, interviews, and case studies, this design enables a nuanced examination of research gaps. It tests the hypotheses in diverse contexts, enhancing the credibility and depth of findings.

Given the complexity of the research topic, this approach is essential to study the relationship between traditional and digital communication tools and their integration within various organizational environments. Furthermore, the design offers practical recommendations to improve communication performance, accounting for cultural, geographical, and audience-specific factors [5].

7.2. Research Methodology

The study employs multiple complementary approaches to provide a thorough analysis of communication strategies:

Descriptive Analytical Approach This approach involves a detailed analysis of traditional and digital communication strategies to assess their efficiency and impact on crisis management. It highlights commonalities, differences, and gaps between the two approaches, revealing opportunities to improve organizational performance [6].

Experimental Approach The experimental approach tests hypotheses using quantitative data from surveys. It evaluates the impact of communication strategies on organizational performance, including response speed, role clarity, and team collaboration. Statistical tools are employed to study the relationships between variables and to compare the effectiveness of integrated versus separate communication approaches [8].

7.3. Data Collection Tools

Quantitative Data Online surveys collect data from 300 public relations and crisis management personnel across various sectors. The survey questions measure performance indicators, such as response time efficiency, role clarity, and internal collaboration improvements. A five-point Likert scale ensures robust statistical analysis [4].

Qualitative Data In-depth interviews are conducted with 30 experts from fields such as technology, health, education, and services. These interviews explore challenges in using traditional and digital communication tools, opportunities for integration, and best practices for effective crisis management. Open-ended questions facilitate the collection of diverse insights, enriching the qualitative dataset [7].

7.4. Research Sample

The quantitative sample includes 300 participants, 100 public relations staff equally distributed across the education, health, services, and technology sectors, and 200 participants from other specializations reflecting sectoral diversity. A stratified random sampling method ensures fair representation across industries, enhancing the reliability of results [5].

Qualitative Sample The qualitative sample comprises 30 participants selected based on strict criteria, including at least 10 years of professional experience in crisis management or public relations. Participants hold leadership or advisory roles in key sectors such as education, health, technology, and services. This targeted selection ensures the collection of high-quality, expert insights [6].

7.5. Data Analysis Methods

• Quantitative Data Analysis Quantitative data is analyzed using advanced statistical techniques to ensure accuracy and robustness:

Descriptive Statistics: Analyze means, standard deviations, and data distribution indices to assess sector patterns and trends.

Multifactor Analysis of Variance (MANOVA): Evaluate differences between traditional and digital strategies across variables like collaboration, responsiveness, and time efficiency.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis: Examine the impact of demographic and cultural factors (e.g., age, education, sector) on the effectiveness of communication strategies [8].

Cluster Analysis: Segment participants based on their preference for traditional or digital tools, allowing for the customization of strategies [5].

• Qualitative Data Analysis Qualitative data is analyzed using advanced thematic analysis with tools such as NVivo or Atlas. Ti to identify recurring patterns and insights:

Social Network Analysis: Examine stakeholder interactions during crises to compare the effectiveness of traditional and digital strategies.

Barrier Analysis: Categorize challenges associated with each approach and assess their impact on organizational performance.

Comparative Case Analysis: Study successful and unsuccessful case studies to identify best practices and actionable recommendations [7].

By employing this integrated methodology, the research provides a comprehensive understanding of traditional and digital communication strategies, addressing gaps in the literature and offering practical solutions to enhance organizational crisis management.

Mixed Analysis (Mixed-Methods Analysis)

The research employs a mixed-methods analysis, integrating quantitative and qualitative data to understand traditional and digital communication strategies comprehensively. A concurrent triangulation approach simultaneously analyzes quantitative and qualitative findings, enhancing the research hypotheses' accuracy and validity [17]. Quantitative analysis results are leveraged to develop predictive models, offering insights into how integrating traditional and digital tools can impact future

organizational performance. These predictive models allow researchers to identify trends and relationships, aiding in crafting more effective crisis management strategies [5].

• Analysis Using Technological Tools

To further refine the analysis, the study incorporates advanced technological tools, including artificial intelligence (AI) and automated analysis methods:

Machine Learning: Techniques are applied to analyze large datasets, uncovering complex patterns and relationships that inform decision-making. Classification and prediction algorithms recommend the most appropriate crisis management tools for specific scenarios, ensuring tailored solutions [6].

Text Analytics: Tools like Python and R extract sentiments and attitudes from open-ended questionnaires and interview responses. This aids in identifying underlying themes and stakeholder perceptions that may not be evident through traditional analysis techniques.

• Integration Impact Assessment

This stage assesses the impact of integrating traditional and digital communication tools on organizational crisis response. By analyzing the quality and effectiveness of integrated strategies, this assessment identifies operational gaps and offers actionable recommendations to enhance the performance of communication approaches. The findings provide a roadmap for improving stakeholder engagement, response speed, and organizational efficiency during crises [8].

7.6. Research Ethics

The research adheres to strict ethical guidelines to ensure the integrity of its findings:

• Confidentiality: All collected data is used exclusively for research, ensuring participant anonymity.

Informed Consent: Participants' prior consent, whether written or electronic, is obtained before any data collection.

Scientific Integrity: Transparency and impartiality are maintained throughout all stages, from data collection to result interpretation [18].

8. Discussion of the Results of Statistical Analysis

8.1. Preliminary Results

The in-depth statistical analysis reveals several key findings:

Professional Experience: The average rating of 3.38 reflects a consensus on the importance of professional experience in crisis management.

Sectoral Differences: An average rating 2.9 indicates minor variations across sectors, suggesting shared challenges and strategies.

Traditional vs. Digital Tools: With an average rating of 3.46, participants show a balanced view of the effectiveness of conventional and digital communication tools.

Challenges and Barriers: The average rating of 3.57 underscores the significant interest in addressing management challenges during crises.

Success Strategies: An average rating of 3.54 highlights the importance of developing effective crisis management strategies.

Recurring Patterns The analysis reveals several recurring themes:

Enhancing Existing Tools: There is a strong emphasis on evolving traditional tools to meet the demands of modern crises.

Sector-Specific Customization: Mixed effectiveness ratings (medium to high) suggest the need for tailoring strategies to specific sectors.

By synthesizing quantitative and qualitative findings, this discussion underscores the need for adaptive, integrative communication frameworks that address sectoral differences and leverage traditional and digital tools [6, 7].

8.2. Social Network Analysis

Interaction Between Axes: The Social Network Analysis graph illustrates the relationships between the principal axes of the study. Professional experience is closely linked to success strategies, challenges, and barriers, indicating its importance in understanding and overcoming crisis management obstacles. The comparison between traditional and digital tools highlights a balance when analyzed against sectoral differences and challenges, suggesting their complementary nature. Challenges and barriers are central across various themes, underscoring their critical role in crisis management. Notably, challenges serve as a key intersection between expertise, tools, and strategies, emphasizing the importance of addressing and managing them effectively for overall success.

8.3. Barrier Analysis

8.3.1. Barrier Impact Assessment

The barrier analysis identifies and evaluates the primary obstacles associated with traditional and digital strategies, summarized in Table 1:

Table 1. Barrier Analysis.

Barrier	Average Impact	Impact Level
Coordination between parties	3.83	High
Technical challenges	3.80	High
Limited human and material resources	3.50	Medium
Hesitation to adopt new strategies	3.17	Low

8.4. Interpretation of Barrier Analysis

Coordination Between Parties: Scoring the highest impact (3.83), coordination challenges are among the most critical barriers in crisis management. Poor coordination often results in delays and resource misallocation, exacerbating crisis severity.

Technical Challenges: With an average impact of 3.80, this barrier reflects significant difficulties in using digital tools or adapting existing systems to meet crisis requirements.

Limited Resources: The medium-level impact (3.50) highlights the role of insufficient human and material resources in hindering effective crisis responses, often caused by poor planning or inadequate reserves.

Hesitation to Adopt New Strategies: This barrier has the lowest impact (3.17) and reflects resistance to innovation or a reluctance to take risks with new strategies during crises.

8.5. Priority Recommendations

Improving Coordination Mechanisms: Strengthening coordination mechanisms and upgrading technical infrastructure should be prioritized to address the most critical barriers.

Enhancing Resource Allocation: Improving resource allocation efficiency will significantly mitigate challenges related to limited resources.

Fostering a Culture of Innovation: Encouraging a culture of innovation through targeted training programs can overcome hesitation in adopting new strategies.

8.6. Comparative Analysis Results

8.6.1. Key Differences Between Successful and Unsuccessful Cases

8.6.1.1. Areas of Excellence in Successful Cases

- Coordination and Cooperation: Successful cases outperform by an average margin of 1.15, indicating that effective coordination is a cornerstone of crisis management success.
- Adoption of Innovative Strategies: Successful cases are ahead by 0.90, reflecting their ability to embrace and implement new strategies effectively.

8.7. Weaknesses in Unsuccessful Cases

• Reluctance to Change: In unsuccessful cases, hesitation to adopt new strategies shows a deficit of - 0.53 compared to successful ones, highlighting resistance to change as a significant weakness.

8.8. Similarities

• Resource Challenges: Differences in resource allocation between successful and unsuccessful cases are minimal, suggesting that resource availability alone is not decisive in determining success.

Effective coordination and the rapid adoption of innovative tools are critical factors for success in crisis management. Unsuccessful cases often face more severe coordination issues and exhibit slower innovation adoption. Organizations can improve by prioritizing collaboration mechanisms, fostering a culture of innovation, and leveraging new technologies to enhance overall crisis response effectiveness.

8.9. Strengthening Coordination and Innovation Strategies

To ensure adequate resource allocation during crises, it is recommended to:

Establish integrated work teams with centralized management.

Promote a culture of institutional innovation through staff training on the latest digital tools to enhance organizational resilience.

Conduct workshops to build confidence in change-related decision-making and overcome hesitation in adopting new strategies.

8.10. Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistical analysis revealed critical insights. The means and standard deviations were calculated, and data distribution was assessed:

Skewness: Slight deviation towards the mean values indicates consistency in responses.

Kurtosis: Less variable than normal data distribution reflects data reliability.

8.11. Key Descriptive Findings

Effectiveness of traditional tools: Mean = 3.8, SD = 0.6, range = 2-5.

Effectiveness of digital tools: Mean = 4.2, SD = 0.5, range = 3-5.

Improved collaboration: Mean = 3.9, SD = 0.7, range = 2-5.

Response speed: Mean = 4.1, SD = 0.5, range = 3-5.

8.12. Analysis of Relationships (Chi-Square Test)

The relationship between crisis types and individual preferences lacked strong statistical significance:

Chi-Square: 1.28

P-Value: 0.527 (not significant).

8.13. Correlation and Cluster Analysis

A comprehensive correlation matrix identified relationships between digital tools, collaboration, message efficiency, and response speed, revealing hidden patterns. Cluster analysis divided the sample into two distinct groups based on preferences and behaviors:

Cluster 0: 143 individuals.

Cluster 1: 157 individuals.

These findings enable the design of tailored strategies for each group, enhancing communication effectiveness.

8.14. Descriptive and Cluster Analysis

Integration Improvement: Based on meta-analysis results, design targeted strategies to effectively integrate traditional and digital tools.

Enhancing Digital Tool Effectiveness: Utilize identified patterns from the correlation matrix to improve messaging efficiency and team collaboration.

Leverage Demographics: Customize training programs to consider age differences and professional experience.

Targeted Strategies: Develop communication strategies tailored to each cluster's preferences.

8.15. Results of Mixed Analysis and Application of Technological Tools

8.15.1. Mixed-Methods Analysis

Predictive models were constructed to assess the impact of key factors on group performance: Model: Random Forest.

Accuracy: 98.88%.

The results highlighted the most influential factors, including digital tool usage and improved collaboration, confirming the model's reliability in predicting future organizational performance.

8.16. Qualitative Text Analysis

Topic Modeling (LDA) identified three main themes from qualitative responses:

Digital Tool Challenges: 45% of responses highlighted misinformation and lack of control.

Integration Importance: 30% emphasized combining traditional tools' reliability with digital tools' speed.

Cultural and Demographic Impacts: 25% revealed differences in audience preferences.

8.17. Cultural and Demographic Factors in Crisis Communication

8.17.1. Cultural Analysis

Conservative Cultures: Preference for traditional methods (78% trust level).

Open-Minded Cultures: Favor digital communication (85% acceptance).

Educational Levels: Higher education correlates with greater acceptance of digital tools.

8.18. Demographic Insights

Age Preferences:

- Generation Z (18–25): 92% prefer digital tools.
- Generation Y (26–40): Balanced between traditional and digital tools.
- Generation X (41–55): 65% prefer traditional tools.
- Seniors (56+): 85% strongly prefer traditional methods.

Geographic Differences:

- Urban Areas: 75% use digital tools.
- Rural Areas: 68% prefer traditional methods.

8.19. Cultural and Demographic Analysis

Customized Strategies by Age Group: Develop digital content for younger audiences and maintain traditional channels for older demographics. Hybrid strategies should target intermediate age groups.

Culturally Sensitive Messaging: Align content with local values, ensure appropriate language, and address cultural norms.

Effectiveness Measurement Indicators: To evaluate the success of communication strategies, use quantitative (e.g., response rates) and qualitative indicators (e.g., satisfaction and trust).

This refined methodology and analysis highlight the critical role of integrating traditional and digital communication tools, adapting strategies based on demographic and cultural factors, and

leveraging predictive and qualitative analyses to optimize crisis management. By implementing these recommendations, organizations can enhance collaboration, efficiency, and response speed during crises.

8.20. Challenges and Suggested Solutions

Cultural Challenges Cultural resistance to change, varying communication patterns, and unifying messages across diverse cultural contexts are key obstacles. Addressing these requires flexible strategies that adapt to cultural variables, building organizational capacity for handling cultural diversity in communication, and implementing systems for ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure cultural alignment.

8.21. Strategic Recommendations

Integration of Tools: Leverage predictive insights to tailor communication tools to the crisis context. Improve the use of digital tools to enhance collaboration and accelerate responses.

Addressing Vulnerabilities: Target resource shortages and coordination gaps through training programs and updated organizational procedures.

Qualitative Insights: Integrate qualitative themes with quantitative findings to craft strategies tailored to different sectors.

Artificial Intelligence Application: Implement machine learning techniques to provide personalized recommendations for crisis management tools based on the type of crisis and behavioral preferences.

9. Results

The research revealed significant findings regarding the effectiveness of traditional and digital communication tools during crises. Successful organizations employ a balanced approach, combining conventional and digital tools, with a 65% inclination toward digital tools for situations requiring rapid responses. Traditional tools remain essential for scenarios demanding credibility and formal communication. Table 2 summarizes the effectiveness of each approach based on crisis type:

Table 2. Summarizes the effectiveness of each approach based on crisis type.

Type of Crisis	Digital Media Effectiveness	Traditional Methods Effectiveness	Best Approach
Health	82%	65%	Mixed with a digital focus
Financial	78%	70%	Mixed and balanced
Media	75%	72%	Mixed and balanced

The results also highlight cultural and demographic variations. Conservative societies exhibit higher trust in traditional media (78%), with preferences for direct and formal communication. Open societies favor digital media (85%) and show greater flexibility in adopting new strategies. Table 3outlines age-based preferences:

Table 3. Outlines age-based preferences.

Age Group	Preference for Digital Media	Preference for Traditional Means	Level of Interaction
18-25 years	92%	8%	Very High
26-40 years	55%	45%	High
41-55 years	35%	65%	Moderate
56+ years	15%	85%	Low

Geographical and economic factors also influence preferences. Urban areas rely more on digital media (75%), while rural regions favor traditional methods (68%) due to infrastructure limitations. Table 4 summarizes these variations:

© 2025 by the author; licensee Learning Gate

Table 4. Summarizes these variations.

Area	Use of Digital Media	Use of Traditional Means	Main Challenges
Urban	75%	25%	Multi-platform usage
Rural	32%	68%	Poor infrastructure

9.1. Advanced Analytical Results

The study found strong correlations between communication preferences and key variables. A positive correlation (0.82) exists between education level and acceptance of digital tools, while an inverse relationship (-0.68) is evident between age and reliance on digital media. Additionally, a correlation of 0.75 indicates that diverse communication tools positively impact crisis management effectiveness.

9.2. Proposed Model for Crisis Communication Management

The proposed model emphasizes an integrated framework tailored to cultural and demographic diversity. It includes the following phases:

9.2.1. Evaluation Phase (First 24 Hours)

- Classify the crisis type.
- Analyze audience characteristics.
- Assess resources and technological capabilities.

9.2.2. Strategic Planning Phase (48 Hours)

- Develop an integrated communication strategy.
- Determine the optimal mix of traditional and digital channels.
- Prepare alternative emergency plans.

9.2.3. Implementation Phase (72 Hours)

- Launch synchronized communication campaigns.
- Activate all relevant channels.
- Coordinate effectively among stakeholders.

9.2.4. Follow-Up and Evaluation

- Monitor responses and interactions.
- Measure the effectiveness of channels and strategies.
- Adjust plans based on feedback.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs): Quantitative metrics include audience reach, interaction rates, and speed of information dissemination. Qualitative metrics focus on content quality, audience trust, and two-way communication effectiveness.

Future Trends in Crisis Communication Management

The field is evolving rapidly with technological advancements and audience behavior shifts. Future trends emphasize:

Customized Communication: AI-driven message tailoring that considers cultural contexts and audience preferences.

Channel Integration: Unified platforms for managing traditional and digital communication channels.

Emerging Technologies: AI and machine learning for predicting crises, analyzing public sentiment, and automating responses. Augmented and virtual reality for crisis simulation and public engagement.

9.3. Future Scenarios

- Optimistic: Complete integration of communication channels and immediate, effective responses.
- Conservative: Persistence of technological adoption challenges and digital divides.
- Transformational: Emergence of new crisis management models and shifts in audience needs.

10. Recommendations

Enhance Integration: Develop comprehensive strategies that leverage the strengths of both traditional and digital tools.

Centralized Coordination: Establish advanced information-sharing platforms that accommodate cultural and demographic diversity.

Resource Allocation: Implement proactive plans to ensure sufficient reserves of human and material resources and investment in digital infrastructure.

Foster Innovation: Encourage creative problem-solving and risk-taking through targeted workshops and training programs.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Using quantitative and qualitative indicators to measure strategy effectiveness.

Table 5. Summary of Recommendations.

Target Group	Key Recommendations	Implementation Mechanisms	Success Indicators
Conservative Societies	Gradual digital transformation	Awareness programs	Acceptance rate
Youth	Develop interactive content	Interactive platforms	Participation rate
Elderly	Maintain traditional channels	Direct contact	Satisfaction level

These recommendations aim to enhance institutional capacity for managing crisis communication effectively while considering cultural and demographic diversity. Success depends on leadership commitment, resource investment, and adaptability to future communication trends.

Transparency:

The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

Copyright:

© 2025 by the author. This open-access article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

References

- W. T. Coombs, Ongoing crisis communication: Planning, managing, and responding, 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage [1]Publications, 2019.
- S. R. Veil, T. Buehner, and M. J. Palenchar, "A work-in-process literature review: Incorporating social media in risk [2]and crisis communication," Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 110-122, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5973.2011.00639.x
- Y. Jin, B. F. Liu, and L. L. Austin, "Examining the role of social media in effective crisis management: The effects of [3] crisis origin, information form, and source on publics' crisis responses," Communication Research, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 74-94, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650211423918
- L. Austin and Y. Jin, Social media and crisis communication. New York: Routledge, 2018.
- [4] [5] S. Dhar and I. Bose, "Victim crisis communication strategy on digital media: A study of the COVID-19 pandemic," Decision Support Systems, vol. 161, p. 113830, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2022.113830

- [6] D. Sun and Y. Li, "Influence of strategic crisis communication on public perceptions during public health crises: Insights from YouTube Chinese media," *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 91, 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14020091
- [7] I. Gurkov and S. Dahms, "Organizational communication strategies in response to significant disruptions: The case of the Russia–Ukraine conflict," *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 210–230, 2023.
- [8] M. J. Sarnak, "Impact of crisis communication strategies on people's attitudes toward behavioral guidelines regarding COVID-19 and on their trust in local officials," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 495–506, 2022.
- [9] K. K. Stephens, P. C. Malone, and C. M. Bailey, "Communicating with stakeholders during a crisis: Evaluating message strategies," *The Journal of Business Communication*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 390-419, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1177/0021943605279057
- [10] B. Reynolds and M. W. Seeger, "Crisis and emergency risk communication as an integrative model," *Journal of Health Communication*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 43–45, 2005.
- [11] R. L. Heath, "Best practices in crisis communication: Evolution of practice through research," *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 245–248, 2006.
- [12] C. M. Pearson and J. A. Clair, "Reframing crisis management," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 59–76, 1998.
- [13] R. R. Ulmer, T. L. Sellnow, and M. W. Seeger, "Effective crisis communication: Moving from crisis to opportunity," 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, 2017.
- [14] M. Al-Dabbous and A. Al-Anzi, "Public relations strategies in crisis management: The Kuwaiti experience," *Journal of Communication Studies*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 123–142, 2023.
- [15] H. El-Sayed, "The role of digital public relations in security crisis management," *Journal of Digital Media*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 123-140, 2023.
- [16] J. Smith and T. Brown, "The future of crisis communication: Integrating AI and hybrid strategies," *International Journal of Communication Research*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 45–62, 2023.
- [17] J. W. Creswell and V. L. Plano Clark, *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, 2018.
- [18] American Psychological Association, *Publication manual of the American psychological association*, 7th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2020.