

An analysis of circuit breaker capacity according to IEC 60909 and IEC 60059 standards at the PT Borneo Alumina Indonesia electrical system

Agustino Al Hakim^{1*}, Rudy Gianto¹, Purwoharjono¹, Rudi Kurnianto¹, Ismail Yusuf¹

¹Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia; agustinoalhakim@gmail.com (A.A.H.); rudy.gianto@ee.untan.ac.id (R.G.); purwo.harjono@ee.untan.ac.id (P.); rudi.kurnianto@ee.untan.ac.id (R.K.); ismail.yusuf@ee.untan.ac.id (I.Y.).

Abstract: At PT Borneo Alumina Indonesia (PT BAI), the D01 Power Distribution System uses circuit breakers (CB) with a current rating capacity of 1250A for both incoming and outgoing 10kV busbars. Replacing outgoing CBs with the same capacity during maintenance leads to unnecessary waste. This study was conducted to examine the appropriate CB capacity as a substitute for the old CBs in the outgoing 10 kV busbars for loads of 10 kV induction motors and transformers so that recommendations can be made to improve efficiency during maintenance on the D01 Power Distribution System. The Electronic Transient Analyzer Program (ETAP) 19.0.1 software was used to perform short circuit simulations based on IEC 60909 and load flow simulations, which were then adjusted to IEC 60059 and CB products from Siemens. The results show that we can replace the current Siemens CB, which has a rated breaking current specification of 31.5kA, with 25kA during the maintenance period. We can also replace the rated peak value of 80kA with 63kA, and the rated current of 1250A with 630A. Replacement of CB specifications does not change/modify the switchgear panel because the replacement CB matches the brand, dimensions, and size of the currently installed CB. These findings would also help increase efficiency during maintenance on the D01 Power Distribution System. The discussion includes limitations and recommendations for future research.

Keywords: Circuit breaker, ETAP 19.0.1, IEC 60909, IEC 60059, Peak value, Rated breaking current, Rated current, Siemens, Switchgear.

1. Introduction

PT BAI's bauxite processing industry is powered by electricity. Interruptions or power outages can reduce alumina production, making it hard to meet targets and causing financial losses. A reliable electrical system is crucial for alumina production. PT BAI operates a 3x25 Mega Watt (MW) steam power plant for self-use and supplies power to the alumina and coal gasification plants at 10kV. Within this system, CB ensures equipment safety by managing excess currents and short circuits.

At PT BAI, the D01 Power Distribution System for the alumina plant's medium-voltage network uses a 1250A CB on the incoming bus bar from the main 10 kV power distribution. However, the CB on the outgoing bus bars for 10kV induction motors and transformers also has the same 1250A capacity. If, during future maintenance, the outgoing bus bar CB needs replacement with a similar 1250A capacity CB for induction motors and transformers, it will result in waste. An evaluation is required to select the appropriate CB for these loads, enhancing maintenance efficiency in the D01 Power Distribution System's medium-voltage network at PT BAI. As per Kong and Nian [1] their study explores methods to select CB and fault current limiter (FCL) capacities for a reliable and cost-effective configuration in a mesh-type DC microgrid. They optimize CB breaking time, CB nominal breaking current, and FCL inductance. They use the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II) to quickly configure CB and FCL

capacities. The case study on a six-terminal DC microgrid confirms the method's accuracy in determining post-fault line currents and making cost-effective CB and FCL capacity choices.

In [Gugale and Palazzo \[2\]](#) the research aimed to accurately calculate short-circuit current disturbances for sizing generator circuit breakers (GCBs), using standards IEC 60909, IEEE C37.010, and IEC/IEEE 62271-37-013. Two key factors were computed: generator source short-circuit current and out-of-phase current disturbances. The ATP-EMTP software was used for simulations, concluding that the IEC/IEEE 62271-37-013 standard is recommended for precise GCB design and selection.

In [Khattijit, et al. \[3\]](#) the research evaluated and analyzed short-circuit faults in renewable energy-based (solar) electrical distribution networks. It used IEC 60909 calculations and IEEE 242 design standards for relay protection. ETAP software simulates short-circuit faults for optimal network design and reliable protection using relay systems. The findings highlighted that increased power from renewables could lead to higher short-circuit currents. Closed-loop configurations were more prone to faults than open-loop ones. The design should ensure power elements (Switchgear and CBs) can handle short-circuits under all conditions. Relay protection settings must be coordinated to safeguard the network effectively, activating backup protection if primary relays fail.

According to [Makani and Daniel Chowdhury \[4\]](#) their study focused on designing a stable electrical system for the Transnet Pipeline Terminal 2 (TM2) petroleum refinery in Heidelberg. They considered a variety of factors, including load lists, reliable protection, proper cable sizing, and equipment selection in hazardous areas. They used ETAP software for power flow studies, short-circuit analysis, and motor starting simulations. The study resulted in a well-designed electrical system that accounted for normal, emergency, and vital loads, ensured protection and efficiency, and included capacitor banks to improve power factor. In [Pramudya, et al. \[5\]](#) a study focused on modeling methods for selecting the right high-voltage AC circuit breaker capacity in circuits with varying voltage levels and capacities for commercial purposes. They used the Schwarz Black Box arc model (Schwarz-BB) for modeling and compared its performance to real CBs during fault events. The results showed a close match between actual and simulated conditions, indicating an accurate CB model. This modeling can be employed to analyze suitable circuit breaker choices in specific electrical circuits. The research aimed to determine the appropriate capacity of CBs by using IEC 60909 and aligning it with IEC 60059 standards and Siemens CB products. This would improve maintenance efficiency at PT BAI.

2. Theoretical Basis

2.1. Short-Circuit Simulation with ETAP

As per [Prabhu, et al. \[6\]](#) calculating short-circuit currents is vital for system analysis, given the inevitability of short-circuit incidents in electrical systems. It guarantees that the system's selected electrical equipment can manage the potential maximum short-circuit currents. Short-circuit calculations (SCC) determine both maximum and minimum fault currents. Maximum short-circuit currents help size electrical equipment withstand capacity, especially for Line to Ground (LG) faults used in grounding system design. Minimum short-circuit currents are used to guide instantaneous overcurrent relay settings. ETAP software streamlines short-circuit simulations, significantly reducing calculation time in large electrical systems. ETAP's accuracy relies on precise input data. In ETAP, accurately modeling the electrical system is critical for calculating maximum short-circuit currents. This involves creating the appropriate configuration. For short-circuit calculations, common electrical equipment parameters used in ETAP modeling include:

2.1.1. Grid Input

Source impedance and network voltage values are necessary for short-circuit current calculations [\[7\]](#). Network voltage values, three-phase short-circuit apparent power, and the X/R ratio are required for modeling the electrical network using ETAP. This information is extracted from the detailed engineering design documents [\[8, 9\]](#).

2.1.2. Transformer Data Input

Operating voltage, apparent power, positive and zero sequence impedances (in %) with X/R ratio, vector group, and grounding system type values are needed for modeling transformers in ETAP.

2.1.3. Motor Data Input

To model motors in ETAP, essential input parameters include motor power values, operating voltage values, power factor, efficiency, Locked Rotor Current (LRC), and starting power factor. The motor power value is contingent upon the load connected to the motor. It is recommended to assume LRC to be between 600% to 700%.

2.1.4. Busbar Data Input

The nominal busbar voltage stands as a crucial input for short-circuit current calculations. ETAP generates short-circuit currents based on the nominal busbar voltage. In projects adhering to IEC standards, the busbar voltage differs from the transformer secondary voltage. ETAP treats the busbar voltage (connected to the secondary transformer) as equivalent to the transformer secondary voltage. Thus, providing an accurate nominal busbar voltage is imperative.

Short circuit calculations (SCC) can be performed in ETAP by clicking the "Run LG, LL, and LLG 3-phase Faults" icon. ETAP calculates the initial symmetrical RMS current (I''_k), steady-state RMS current (I_k), peak short-circuit current (i_p), and the angle between current and voltage for 3-phase faults, Line to Ground (LG) faults, Line to Line (LL) faults, and Line to Line to Ground (LLG) faults. ETAP's output report displays the SCC results. To perform maximum short-circuit current calculations, select the specific case study and model the configuration status for maximum fault current, following the detailed engineering design documents [8, 9].

2.2. Circuit Breaker (CB)

A CB, as per International Electrotechnical Vocabulary 441-14-20, is a mechanical switch that can close, carry, and interrupt current flow under normal and abnormal conditions, including short-circuit faults. It functions as a switch for electrical networks under load and can open or close in response to current faults (short circuits) in the network or electrical equipment [10]. Continuous Rated Current is the maximum current allowed to flow continuously through a CB. Pick-up current is the minimum current that activates a protection relay, typically ranging from 1.05 to 1.5 times its current settings, according to British Standard [11]. The CB's breaking capacity is defined as its ability to withstand short-circuit fault currents without damaging the breaker or connected electrical equipment. The balanced three-phase short-circuit current, determines its capacity [12].

2.3. IEC Standard Current Rating

The standard current values are based on IEC 60059 (IEC Standard Current Ratings) [13]. Electrical system design must apply this standard, which specifies the standard current values for electrical equipment. For any type of equipment, the standard current values should be selected from among the following options Table 1:

Table 1.
Standard current ratings.

Standard current ratings A									
1	1,25	1,6	2	2,5	3,15	4	5	6,3	8
10	12,5	16	20	25	31,5	40	50	63	80
100	125	160	200	250	315	400	500	630	800
1000	1250	1600	2000	2500	3150	4000	5000	6300	8000
10000	12500	16000	20000	25000	31500	40000	50000	63000	80000
100000	125000	160000	200000	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: International Standard [13].

The choice of values to be used should be considered in each case based on their purpose, and it may be found that there are good reasons to select values such as 1.5 - 3 - 6 - 7.5 rather than choosing 1.6 - 3.15 - 6.3 - 8, and their multiples of 10^n (where n is a positive integer) [13].

2.4. Power Flow Analysis with ETAP

In ETAP, all electrical equipment is represented in a single line diagram (SLD). This equipment requires specific input values for power flow calculations. ETAP identifies buses connected to loads as load buses and those connected to power sources as swing buses. Transformers, generators, and bus switchgear operate within their rated capacity. Parameters such as voltage, current, and power should not exceed the rated capacity. Power flow analysis helps determine the ratings of transformers, generators, and busbar switchgear [6].

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Research Location

The research was conducted at PT Borneo Alumina Indonesia, located at Jl. Poros Bukit Batu, RT. 009/RW. 003, Dusun. Kembang Lada, Desa Bukit Batu, Kec. Sungai Kunyit, Mempawah district, West Kalimantan, Indonesia, 78971.

3.2. Tools

A laptop was used for writing scientific papers and running ETAP 19.0.1 simulations. ETAP 19.0.1 software was used to create circuit simulations according to the single line diagram.

3.3. Research Materials

Detailed engineering design documents obtained from PT BAI were used as references for simulations in modelling the system with ETAP 19.0.1.

3.4. Research Variables

In this study, the 10kV induction motor and transformer loads at the D01 Power Distribution System's incoming 10kV busbar were looked at, along with the CB capacity, both when the system is working normally and when there is a fault.

3.5. Research Plan

3.5.1. Literature Review

This research will start by gathering as many references as possible from books, journals, previous studies, and literature reviews. These references will serve as guidelines to support the theoretical framework used to address the research problem.

3.5.2. Data Collection

In this study, we will collect data by getting a single line diagram of the 10 kV medium-voltage D01 Power Distribution System, three-phase short-circuit current data for the 10 kV main bus, data on the capacity and reactance of the power transformer, data on the length and impedance of the cables, and data on the installed capacity.

3.5.3. ETAP 19.0.1 System Modelling

Using ETAP 19.0.1 and the data available from PT BAI, we will simulate the SLD of the electrical system based on the IEC 60909 standard.

3.5.4. Analysis of ETAP Simulation Results

The results of the ETAP simulation will be analysed in accordance with IEC 60059 standards and Siemens circuit breaker products.

3.6. Research Procedure

The following flowchart illustrates the research procedure:

Figure 1 illustrates the research flowchart.




Figure 1.
Research flowchart.

According to the research flowchart:

1. Begin with a literature review.
2. Collect the necessary data.
3. ETAP 19.0.1 allows you to design and input data into the SLD.
4. To obtain results, perform simulations with ETAP 19.0.1.
5. Analyzing ETAP 19.0.1 simulation results based on IEC 60909, IEC 60059, and Siemens CB products.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. System Data

The collected data consists of component information within the SLD D01 based on the documents "Single Line Diagram Power Distribution System of Alumina Plant (D01 Power Distribution System)," "Electrical Calculation Report," and "Solitary Network System Steady-state & Transient Calculation and Analysis Report (Part I: Steady-state Analysis Report)" [8, 9, 14].

The single-line diagram of the system is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2.
Single line diagram of D01.
Source: Chao [9].

As for the system data, it can be observed in Table 2 to Table 3:

Table 2.
Current limiting reactor as follows.

No	ID CLR	Rated current	Z	X/R	Phase	Rated voltage
1	D01 CLR A	800A	0.577 Ω	61	3	10kV
2	D01 CLR B	800A	0.577 Ω	61	3	10kV

Note: X = Reactance; R = Resistance; Z = Impedance.

Source: Xun and Wanya [8].

Table 3.
Motor induction.

No	ID motor	V	Rated	FLA	PF (%)	EFF (%)
1	2#WCP	10kV	400kW	31A	80	93
2	1#WCP	10kV	400kW	31A	80	93
3	Standby WCP	10kV	400kW	28.9A	80	100
4	5#WUP A	10kV	355kW	27.5A	80	93
5	5#WCP	10kV	400kW	31A	80	93
6	4#WCP	10kV	400kW	31A	80	93
7	3#WCP	10kV	400kW	31A	80	93
8	5#WUP B	10kV	355kW	27.5A	80	93
9	BALL MILL A	10kV	3800kW	277.5A	85	93
10	BALL MILL B	10kV	3800kW	277.5A	85	93

Note: FLA = Full load ampere; PF = Power factor; EFF = Efficiency; WCP = Washer circulation pump; WUP = Washer underflow pump.

Source: Xun and Wanya [8].

The weight coefficient of the lumped load is considered to be 80% for the motor load and 20% for the static load Jian, et al. [14].

Table 4 Presents system data of lumped load.

Table 4.
Lumped load.

ID Lumped load	Rated kVA	Rated kVA	PF (%)	Motor load (%)	Static load (%)
D01-2E01-3101-01	250	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2E01-3101-02	250	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3101-01	1000	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3101-02	1000	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3106-01	1000	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3106-02	1000	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3108-01	625	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2P16-3108-02	625	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2T08-31-01	800	0.380	80	80	20
D01-2T08-31-02	800	0.380	80	80	20

Note: PF = Power factor.

Source: Xun and Wanya [8] and Jian, et al. [14].

Table 5 Presents system data of capacitor.

Table 5.
Capacitor.

Capacitor ID	Rated capacity (kvar)	Power factor	Rated current (A)
SVC D01A	1000	0.85	57.74
SVC D01B	1000	0.85	57.74

Source: Jian, et al. [14].

Table 6 presents system data of distribution transformer.

Table 6.
Distribution transformer.

Transformer ID	Cap. (kVA)	Group con.	Rated voltage (kV)		Rated current (A)		Z (%)
			Prim	Sec	Prim	Sec	
TR.2P16-3101-PT-0001	2000	Dyn11	10	0.4	110	2886.8	6.25
TR.2T08-31-PT-0001	1600	Dyn11	10	0.4	88	2309.4	6.25
TR.2E01-3101-PT-0001	500	Dyn11	10	0.4	27.5	721.7	4
TR.2P16-3108-PT-0001	1250	Dyn11	10	0.4	68.7	1804.2	5
TR.2P16-3106-PT-0001	2000	Dyn11	10	0.4	110	2886.8	6.25
TR.2P16-3101-PT-0002	2000	Dyn11	10	0.4	110	2886.8	6.25
TR.2T08-31-PT-0002	1600	Dyn11	10	0.4	88	2309.4	6.25
TR.2E01-3101-PT-0002	500	Dyn11	10	0.4	27.5	721.7	4
TR.2P16-3108-PT-0002	1250	Dyn11	10	0.4	68.7	1804.2	5
TR.2P16-3106-PT-0002	2000	Dyn11	10	0.4	110	2886.8	6.25

Note: Cap. = Capacity; Group con. = Group connection; Prim = Primary; Sec = Secondary; PT = Power transformer.

Source: Xun and Wanya [8] and Jian, et al. [14].

Table 7 Presents variable frequency drive (VFD).

Table 7.
Variable frequency drive (VFD).

ID VFD	Rated AC							Output
	kVA	kV		FLA		Input		
	Output	Input	Output	Input	Output	%EFF	%PF	Hz
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0001	440	10	10	25.4	25.4	98	82.3	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0002	442	10	10	25.98	25.52	98	80.5	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0003	500	10	10	29.44	28.87	98	80.03	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0004	500	10	10	29.44	28.87	98	80.03	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0005	536.94	10	10	29.44	31	98	80.03	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0006	500	10	10	29.44	28.87	98	80.03	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0007	500	10	10	29.44	28.87	98	80.03	50
2P15-3102-MVVFD-0008	500	10	10	29.44	28.87	98	80.03	50

Note: FLA = Full load ampere; PF = Power factor; eff = Efficiency; MVVFD= Medium voltage variable frequency device.

Source: Xun and Wanya [8] and Jian, et al. [14].

Table 8 Presents system data of cable.

Table 8.
Cable.

ID	Type	kV	Cond. /Phase	Cond./ Cable	Size (mm ²)	Length (m)	Insulation type
Cable81	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable82	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable83	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable84	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable85	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable91	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable92	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable93	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable94	CU	10	1	3/C	120	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable95	CU	10	1	3/C	95	100.0	CLP 90°C
Cable97	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C

ID	Type	kV	Cond. /Phase	Cond./ Cable	Size (mm ²)	Length (m)	Insulation type
Cable98	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable99	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable100	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable101	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable102	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable103	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable109	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable110	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable111	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable112	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C
Cable113	CU	10	1	3/C	95	50.0	CLP 90°C

Note CLP = Cross-linked polyethylene.

Source: [Xun and Wanya \[8\]](#).

4.2. Modeling Single Line Diagram (SLD) D01 in ETAP

Modeling SLD D01 using the ETAP 19.0.1 by inputting collected data. Based on the Electrical Calculation Report [8] short-circuit current data (I''_k) for the 10kV main bus was obtained at 38.647kA with a voltage rating of 10kV. Therefore, by utilizing Equation 1 [15] the following results were obtained:

$$S = \sqrt{3} \cdot V \cdot I \quad (1)$$

$$S_{sc} = \sqrt{3} \cdot V \cdot I''_k$$

$$S_{sc} = \sqrt{3} \cdot 10kV \cdot 38,647kA = 669,39MVA_{sc}$$

The result, Power Grid 1 (U1) and Power Grid 2 (U2) has a value of 669.39 MVA_{sc}. Therefore, the modeling of the SLD D01 is illustrated in Figure 3.




Figure 3. D01 single line diagram modeling on ETAP.

4.3. Short-Circuit Simulation in ETAP

After the completion of creating the SLD D01 in ETAP, the next step is to perform a short-circuit simulation within the ETAP 19.0.1 software, following the steps below:

- Display the SLD D01.
- Click on the “Short-Circuit Analysis Mode”.
- Click on “Run 3-Phase Device Duty” (IEC 60909).
- ETAP will display the results of the short-circuit simulation as shown in [Figure 4](#).




Figure 4.
Short-circuit simulation results in ETAP 19.0.1.

The results of the short-circuit simulation can also be found in [Table 9](#) of The Short Circuit Summary.

Table 9.
Short circuit summary report in ETAP 19.0.1.

Bus		Device		Device capacity (kA)				Short-circuit current (kA)					
ID	kV	ID	Type	Making				I'k	ip	Ib sym	Ib asym	Idc	Ik
				Peak	Ib Sym	Ib asym	Idc						
Bus28	10.000	Bus28	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus29	0.380	Bus29	Bus	-	-	-	-	48.356	98.650	-	-	-	39.207
Bus31	10.000	Bus31	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus38	10.000	Bus38	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus45	0.380	Bus45	Bus	-	-	-	-	19.247	32.209	-	-	-	17.093
Bus47	0.380	Bus47	Bus	-	-	-	-	37.489	76.420	-	-	-	31.704
Bus48	0.380	Bus48	Bus	-	-	-	-	48.222	107.666	-	-	-	39.155
Bus50	0.380	Bus50	Bus	-	-	-	-	39.702	80.748	-	-	-	32.544
Bus53	10.000	Bus53	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus58	0.380	Bus58	Bus	-	-	-	-	48.475	98.868	-	-	-	39.207
Bus59	0.380	Bus59	Bus	-	-	-	-	37.565	76.557	-	-	-	31.704
Bus60	0.380	Bus60	Bus	-	-	-	-	48.341	107.936	-	-	-	39.155
Bus61	0.380	Bus61	Bus	-	-	-	-	19.267	32.234	-	-	-	17.093
Bus62	0.380	Bus62	Bus	-	-	-	-	39.783	80.894	-	-	-	32.544
Bus92	10.000	Bus92	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus93	10.000	Bus93	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus95	10.000	Bus95	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus97	10.000	Bus97	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus104	10.000	Bus104	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
Bus105	10.000	Bus105	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus106	10.000	Bus106	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus107	10.000	Bus107	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus129	10.000	Bus129	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus133	10.000	Bus133	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus136	10.000	Bus136	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus224	10.000	Bus224	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus225	10.000	Bus225	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
Bus226	10.000	Bus226	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
D01 10kV I	10.000	D01 10kV I	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.289	27.365	-	-	-	8.636
D01 10kV I	10.000	CB-D01-01	CB	100.000	40.000	42.167	13.343	11.289	27.365	101.161	10.407	2.245	-
D01 10kV II	10.000	D01 10kV II	Bus	-	-	-	-	11.511	27.929	-	-	-	8.636
D01 10kV II	10.000	CB-D01-02	CB	100.000	40.000	42.167	13.343	11.511	27.929	10.270	10.517	2.270	-

4.4. Load Flow Simulation in ETAP

The steps to perform a load flow simulation in ETAP 19.0.1 are as follows:

- Display SLD D01.
- Click on the “load flow analysis mode”.
- Click “Run load flow calculation”.
- ETAP will display the results of the load flow simulation as shown in Figure 5, and Table 10 is the bus loading summary.




Figure 5. Load flow analysis simulation results in ETAP 19.0.1.

Table 10.
Bus loading summary report in ETAP 19.0.1.

Bus			Directly connected load								Total bus load		
			Constant kVA		Constant Z		Constant I		Generic				
ID	kV	Rated amp	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MVA	%PF	Amp
Bus11	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.425	80.0	24.5
Bus54	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.425	80.0	24.5
Bus55	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.415	80.0	24.0
Bus56	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.377	80.0	21.8
Bus57	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.425	80.0	24.5
Bus64	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.425	80.0	24.5
Bus65	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.425	80.0	24.5
Bus66	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.377	80.0	21.8
Bus24	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.842	92.1	395.0
Bus27	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.933	87.4	400.3
Bus29	0.380	-	0.211	0.158	0.050	0.038	-	-	-	-	0.327	80.0	508.3
Bus45	0.380	-	0.053	0.040	0.013	0.010	-	-	-	-	0.082	80.0	126.9
Bus47	0.380	-	0.132	0.099	0.032	0.024	-	-	-	-	0.205	80.0	317.3
Bus48	0.380	-	0.211	0.158	0.051	0.038	-	-	-	-	0.327	80.0	508.0
Bus50	0.380	-	0.169	0.127	0.040	0.030	-	-	-	-	0.262	80.0	406.6
Bus51	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.347	79.6	20.3
Bus58	0.380	-	0.211	0.158	0.050	0.038	-	-	-	-	0.327	80.0	509.6
Bus59	0.380	-	0.132	0.099	0.031	0.024	-	-	-	-	0.204	80.0	318.1
Bus60	0.380	-	0.211	0.158	0.050	0.038	-	-	-	-	0.327	80.0	509.3
Bus61	0.380	-	0.053	0.040	0.013	0.009	-	-	-	-	0.082	80.0	127.2
Bus62	0.380	-	0.169	0.127	0.040	0.030	-	-	-	-	0.261	80.0	407.7
Bus63	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.346	79.6	20.4
Bus184	10.000	-	3.984	1.648	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.312	92.4	253.1
Bus185	10.000	-	3.737	2.316	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.396	85.0	259.1
Bus186	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.264	79.6	15.5
Bus187	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.082	79.8	4.8
Bus188	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.330	79.6	19.4
Bus189	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.330	79.5	19.4
Bus190	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.206	79.7	12.1

Bus			Directly connected load								Total bus load		
			Constant kVA		Constant Z		Constant I		Generic				
ID	kV	Rated amp	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	MVA	%PF	Amp
Bus191	10.000	-	-	-	-	-0.970	-	-	-	-	0.970	-	56.9
Bus192	10.000	-	-	-	-	-0.962	-	-	-	-	0.962	-	56.6
Bus193	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.330	79.6	19.4
Bus194	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.264	79.6	15.5
Bus195	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.082	79.8	4.8
Bus196	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.206	79.7	12.1
Bus197	10.500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.330	79.5	19.4
DO1 10kV I	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.141	88.2	418.7
DO1 10kV II	10.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.287	83.1	429.0

Note: MVA = Mega volt ampere.

4.5. Analysis of the Load Flow Simulation Results in ETAP 19.0.1

Based on Figure 5 and Table 10, the rating current passing through the CB is as follows:

Table 11.

The rating current passing through the CB at the outgoing 10kV Busbar that was analyzed.

No	ID CB	From ID	To ID	Rated current (A)
1	CB168	Bus D01 10kV I	Cable84	254.1
2	CB167		Cable85	25.5
3	CB169		Cable81	25.5
4	CB170		Cable82	24.9
5	CB180		Cable83	22
6	CB159		Cable99	19.4
7	CB163		Bus51	20.4
8	CB166		Cable100	12.1
9	CB173		Cable101	19.4
10	CB209	Bus D01 10kV II	Cable94	259.1
11	CB208		Cable95	25.5
12	CB210		Cable91	25.5
13	CB211		Cable92	25.5
14	CB215		Cable93	22.5
15	CB204		Cable111	19.4
16	CB216		Bus63	20.4
17	CB207		Cable112	12.1
18	CB214		Cable113	19.4

According to Table 11, the highest current ratings are CB168 = 254.1A and CB209 = 259.1A.

According to document [16] the voltage rating is 10kV with a normal voltage variation range of $\pm 5\%$. The maximum normal voltage is 10.5kV (105%), and the minimum normal voltage is 9.5kV (95%). The voltage at Bus D01 10kV I is 9.818kV (98.18%) and the voltage at Bus D01 10kV II is 9.806kV (98.06%), therefore, the voltages at Bus D01 10kV I and Bus D01 10kV II still drop within the normal voltage variation range.

4.6. Analysis of ETAP 19.0.1's Short-Circuit Simulation Results

The CBs that have been evaluated are only on the outgoing 10kV busbar D01 for 10kV induction motors and transformers. For faults on the 380V side, calculations are performed to obtain the short-circuit current values on the 10kV side by multiplying the short-circuit current values at 380V by the transformer ratio. Therefore, based on Figure 3, Figure 4, and Table 9, the CBs that have been analyzed are as shown in Table 12.

Table 12.

The CBs analyzed are those located on the outgoing 10kV busbar.

No.	ID CB	From ID	To ID	Short-circuit current (kA)		Peak current (kA)
				Fault on outgoing CB	Fault on the 380v side	
1	CB168	BusD01 10kV I	Bus28	11.289	-	27.365
2	CB167		Bus31			
3	CB169		Bus38			
4	CB170		Bus53			
5	CB180		Bus92			
6	CB159		Bus93			
		Bus188	Bus29	-	$40.762 * 0.38 / 10 = 1.549$	$98.65 * 0.38 / 10 = 3.749$
		BusD01 10kV I	Bus104	11.289	-	27.365
7	CB163	Bus186	Bus50	-	$(33.624 * 0.38 / 10) + (17.356 * 0.38 / 10) = 1.278 + 0.66 = 1.938$	$(80.748 * 0.38 / 10) + (32.209 * 0.38 / 10) = 3.068 + 1.224 = 4.292$
		Bus187	Bus45			

No.	ID CB	From ID	To ID	Short-circuit current (kA)		Peak current (kA)
				Fault on <i>outgoing</i> CB	Fault on the 380v side	
8	CB166	Bus D01 10kV I	Bus95	11.289	-	27.365
		Bus190	Bus47	-	$32.742*0.38/10=1.244$	$76.42*0.38/10=2.904$
9	CB173	Bus D01 10kV I	Bus97	11.289	-	27.365
		Bus189	Bus48	-	$40.744*0.38/10=1.548$	$107.666*0.38/10=4.091$
10	CB209	Bus D01 10kV II	Bus226	11.511	-	27.929
11	CB208		Bus225			
12	CB210		Bus224			
13	CB211		Bus136			
14	CB215		Bus133			
15	CB204		Bus129			
16	CB216	Bus D01 10kV II	Bus107	11.511	-	27.929
		Bus194	Bus62	-	$(33.705*0.38/10) + (17.376*0.38/10) = 1.281+0.66=1.941$	$(80.894*0.38/10) + (32.234*0.38/10) = 3,074+1,225=4,299$
		Bus195	Bus61	-		
17	CB207	Bus D01 10kV II	Bus106	11.511	-	27.929
		Bus196	Bus59	-	$32.817*0.38/10=1.247$	$76.557*0.38/10=2.909$
18	CB214	Bus D01 10kV II	Bus105	11.511	-	27.929
		Bus197	Bus60	-	$40.863*0.38/10=1.553$	$107.936*0.38/10=4.102$

Note: "*" is the multiplication sign.

In document [Agreement on 10kV \[17\]](#) there is a technical data sheet for the CBs used in the *Power Distribution System of the Alumina Plant (D01 Power Distribution System)*, as shown in [Table 13](#):

Table 13.

The technical data sheet of CBs in the D01 power distribution system.

No.	Specification	Information
1	Type	Vacuum circuit breaker
2	Model	3AE8-12kV
3	Rated voltage (kV)	10
4	Maximum working voltage (kV)	12
5	Rated current (A)	1250
6	Rated frequency (Hz)	50
7	Rated breaking current (kA)	31.5
8	Full capacity breaking times	Not less than 20000
9	Peak value (kA)	80
10	Rated short circuit duration (s)	4
11	Rated withstand voltage 1 min power-frequency (kV)	42
12	Rated withstand lightning impulse (kV)	75
13	Opening time (ms)	≤ 60
14	Closing time (ms)	≤ 75
15	Mechanical life	Not less than 20000

If the specifications of the CBs in [Table 13](#) are analyzed using IEC 60909, IEC 60059, and Siemens products [\[18\]](#), the results can be seen in [Table 14](#).

Table 14.
The selection of circuit breakers based on IEC 60909, IEC 60059, and siemens products.

No	ID CB	Rated breaking current			Rated peak value					Rated current												
		Data sheet (kA)	ETAP IEC 60909 (kA)	IEC 60059 (kA)	Siemens (kA)	Data sheet (kA)	ETAP IEC 60909 (kA)	IEC 60059 (kA)	Siemens (kA)	Data sheet (A)	ETAP (A)	IEC 60059 (A)	Siemens (A)									
1	CB168	31.5	11.289	12.5	25	80	27.365	31.5	63	1250	630	254.1	315									
2	CB167											25.5	31.5									
3	CB169											25.5	31.5									
4	CB170											24.9	25									
5	CB180											22	25									
6	CB159											1.549	1.6	3.749	4	19.4	20					
7	CB163											11.289	12.5	27.365	31.5	20.4	25					
												1.938	2	4.292	5							
8	CB166											11.289	12.5	27.365	31.5	12.1	12.5					
												1.244	1.25	2.904	3.15							
9	CB173											11.289	12.5	27.365	31.5	19.4	20					
												1.548	1.6	4.091	5							
10	CB209											11.511	12.5	27.929	31.5	27.929	31.5	63	1250	630	259.1	315
11	CB208																				25.5	31.5
12	CB210																				25.5	31.5
13	CB211																				25.5	31.5
14	CB215																				22.5	25
15	CB204																				1.553	1.6
16	CB216	11.511	12.5	27.929	31.5	20.4	25															
		1.941	2	4.299	5																	
17	CB207	11.511	12.5	27.929	31.5	12.1	12.5															
		1.247	1.25	2.909	3.15																	
18	CB214	11.511	12.5	27.929	31.5	19.4	20															
		1.553	1.6	4.102	5																	

According to [Table 14](#), the appropriate specification selection for Siemens CB products is as follows:

- The rated breaking current of 31.5kA can be replaced with 25kA.
- The rated peak value of 80kA can be replaced with 63kA.
- The rated current of 1250A can be replaced with 630A.

Based on the [3AE8 Vacuum Circuit Breaker \[18\]](#) the replacement of the CB specifications does not affect D01 Power Distribution System switchgear panel because the recommended specifications of CB match the brand, model, dimensions, and size of the original.

5. Conclusion

We can draw the following conclusions from simulations and analyses of the CBs in the D01 Power Distribution System for 10kV induction motor loads and transformers: To improve maintenance efficiency in the medium-voltage network system, the current CBs can be replaced with Siemens Model 3AE8-12kV with these specifications: a rated breaking current of 25 kA, a rated peak value of 63 kA, and a rated current of 630 kA.

6. Recommendations

Based on this research, the following recommendations are provided:

- During maintenance periods, PT BAI may consider replacing the CBs in the D01 Power Distribution System for 10kV induction motor loads and transformers with Siemens circuit breakers, Model 3AE8-12kV, which have the following specifications: a rated breaking current of 25kA, a rated peak current of 63kA, and a rated current of 630A.
- The focus of this research is on selecting the appropriate specifications for the CBs on the outgoing busbar of the D01 Power Distribution System. Further research can be undertaken to calculate the economic value of replacing the CBs with the recommended capacity.

Funding:

This study received no specific financial support.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable.

Transparency:

The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study that no vital features of the study have been omitted and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

Competing Interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions:

All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Copyright:

© 2024 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

References

- [1] L. Kong and H. Nian, "Parameters selection method of circuit breaker and fault current limiter in mesh-type DC microgrid," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 35514–35523, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2021.3061117>
- [2] P. Gugale and M. Palazzo, "Application of circuit-breaker standards in short-circuit current calculation for generator circuit-breakers," presented at the 2020 IEEE Electric Power and Energy Conference (EPEC), IEEE, 2020.
- [3] N. Khattijit, K. Oranpiroj, and W. Muangjai, "The evaluation of short circuit current to achieve optimal design and protection for elements in power network with renewable energy," presented at the 2018 International Electrical Engineering Congress (iEECON), IEEE, 2018.
- [4] Z. Makani and S. P. Daniel Chowdhury, "Design of electrical power supply system in a typical petroleum refinery," presented at the 2017 52nd International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), IEEE, 2017.
- [5] I. Pramudya, M. W. Hadi, J. Y. Koo, B. W. Lee, U. A. Khan, and Suwarno, "Modelling of high voltage AC circuit breaker based on circuit breaker's technical data: Using Schwarz Black Box arc model," presented at the 2017 International Conference on High Voltage Engineering and Power Systems (ICHVEPS), IEEE, 2017.
- [6] J. A. X. Prabhu, K. S. Nande, S. Shukla, and C. N. Ade, "Design of electrical system based on short circuit study using ETAP for IEC projects," presented at the 2016 IEEE 6th International Conference on Power Systems (ICPS), IEEE, 2016.
- [7] International Standard, *IEC 60909-0 short-circuit currents in three-phase a.c. systems – part 0: Calculation of currents, vol. 1*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Electrotechnical Commission, 2001.
- [8] G. Xun and Z. Wanya, "Electrical calculation report," Chengdu, F08941C-D01-17," 2021.

- [9] Z. Chao, "Single line diagram - power distribution system of Alumina plant (D01 Power Distribution System)," Shenyang, CAS1969-2P15-3101-026-001," 2020.
- [10] D. Aribowo, "Analysis of 150kV PMT test results at the new Cilegon main substation BAY KS 1.," *JTEV (Journal of Electrical and Vocational Engineering)*, vol. 5, no. 1.1, pp. 59-65, 2019.
- [11] W. H. Prasetya, M. Munir, N. P. U. Putra, N. H. Rohiem, and I. Masfufiah, "Analysis of over current relay protection coordination at the Bangil main substation," presented at the National Seminar on Applied Science and Technology, Surabaya: Institut Teknologi Adhi Tama Surabaya.
- [12] M. Marhatang, "Determination of breaking capacity of circuit breakers on the South Sulawesi bus system," *Synergy Mechanical Engineering Journal*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 174-184, 2019.
- [13] International Standard, *IEC 60059 IEC standard current ratings, vol. 2*. Geneva, Switzerland: International Electrotechnical Commission, 1999.
- [14] D. Jian, Z. Qianhe, Q. Tianzhang, G. Xun, and Z. Wanya, "Solitary network system steady-state & transient calculation and analysis report (Part I: Steady-state Analysis Report)," Chengdu, F08941C-X01-01," 2022.
- [15] J. E. Fleckenstein, *Three-phase electrical power*. New York: CRC Press, 2016.
- [16] Z. He Ming, "Design criteria for electrical engineering," Shenyang, CAS1969-2G00-31-110-001," 2021.
- [17] Agreement on 10kV, "Agreement on 10kV Switchgear for Alumina Plant and Gas Station," Shenyang, YNXM2023AO2P15-SB-122," 2023.
- [18] 3AE8 Vacuum Circuit Breaker, "3AE8 vacuum circuit breaker," EMLP-B80043-00-5DCN-3158-5906558-01198," 2022.